HER ALL SOCIETY

DATE:

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS

May 22, 2023

15470 FLIGHT PATH DRIVE * BROOKSVILLE, FLORIDA 34604

P 352.754.4020 * F 352.754.4199 * W www.HernandoCounty.us

TO:	Fran Hallet, Procurement Agent II		
FROM:	Marie Warren		
SUBJECT:	Recommendation for Award Bid No. 23-TG0011/FH Project Name: Gopher Tortoise Removal		
project/solicitati the Hernando C Policies and Pr please complet evaluation attac 1. Total C	bid received from Stroud Engineering Consultant for the above referenced on is submitted for your review, evaluation, and award recommendation. In accordance with County Ordinance No. 93.16, Section 2-105 (6) and Purchasing and Contracts Department rocedures Manual, Procedure No. 130F, Paragraph 3. (D), Policy140I, Paragraph 2(H), the items 2 through 6 and return this award recommendation form with your technical sched, approved by your department director/manager on or by 5:00 p.m. on April 4, 2023. Contract Bid Price is: \$ N/A The procedure of the above referenced for the above refere		
	rovide an explanation using the space provided below and/or attached to this form.		
lf no, pr	mend award as responsive and responsible bidder XX YES NO NO rovide a detailed explanation using the space provided below and/or attached to this form. It Next Bidder? YES NO XX		
your ba	Provide a statement that addresses the reason(s) for your recommendation or rejection. Include your basis for determining that pricing is fair and reasonable, and that the Bidder has the ability and resources to perform in accordance with the bid terms, conditions, and scope. Stroud Engineering Consultants is qualified and had good reference checks.		
7. Fund <u>10</u> 8. Fund <u>1</u>	the funding information: Fund 1013 Dept 03211 Account 5306308 013 Dept 03211 Account 5306306: Fund 1013, Dept 03211 Account 5616313 013, Dept 03211 Account 5616371 on Approved By: Date: 5/25/23		

Department Director/Manager

TECHNICAL EVALUATION FOR BID AWARD

ITB# 23-TG0011/DK Gopher Tortoise Removal

VENDOR: STROUD ENGINEERING CONSULTANT

This document has been developed to facilitate your evaluation. Your evaluation should be limited to the attached. Purchasing will ensure that all documents required by the solicitation are contained for evaluation. This documentation will be included with the bid submitted for evaluation. Bids that are determined non-responsive by the Purchasing Division will not be submitted to you for evaluation. Please note that you should focus your attention on the areas contained within this document. Your evaluation will be a major consideration as to the responsiveness and/or responsibility of a bidder.

A. Is the amount of the bid reasonable and realistic for the services to be performed or the item or equipment to be purchased? **NO BID REQUIRED**, **BASED ON SKILL AND REFERENCES**

If the bid is considered reasonable/realistic, provide justification for your conclusion.

If you consider the bid to be unreasonable and/or unrealistic, please explain in detail.

B. Was an independent County estimate developed prior to soliciting for the procurement? NO

If affirmative, submit this estimate with your evaluation in the same format as the bid schedule and describe the extent the estimate was used in the analysis of the bid.

C. Do the resources (manpower, equipment, supplies, etc.) proposed by the bidder meet the minimum requirements, if any, established by the solicitation? YES

If minimums were not identified in the solicitation, you may request information on proposed resources from the bidder through Purchasing.

When specific types and quantities of equipment are required to meet minimum standards, the bidder may address this requirement by providing purchasing with a pro-forma invoice with confirmation from a bank or lending institution to the effect that they are prepared to finance the lease or purchase of equipment necessary to perform the services if the bidder is awarded the contract. N/A

D. Does the bidder have a satisfactory record of performance? YES

At a minimum, the bidder's record on previous county contracts must be considered and an attempt must be made to contact all references. The reference form attached is to be used for your documentation of your reference check. If references cannot be contacted, the Department shall contact Purchasing for additional references. Purchasing shall request from the bidder in writing of this fact and inform that the reference must contact the project person within two business days, or it will negatively impact the evaluation the bid.

E. Provide your overall recommendation on the Recommendation for Award Form. WOULD USE THIS VENDOR FOR FUTURE PROJECTS.

Note: At no time will the user/project person/bid evaluator discuss responsiveness, responsibility, or withdrawal from the bidding process with any bidder. Moreover, it is strictly prohibited for any County representative involved in the bidding process to attempt to negotiate bids, influence or otherwise impact the business decisions of a bidder.

REFERENCE CHECK

EFERENCE (Company or Person): Turbine Diagnosti	c Service	
HONE #: 727-375-8700	PERSON Y	OU SPOKE TO:	Ron Rubrecht per emai
1. Describe the work contracted	d by your firm/compa	ny.	
Removal of gopher tortoise	from assorted location	is.	
Was the work completed on Yes	time?		
3. Were you satisfied with the Yes	final results?		
4. Did you implement their rec Yes	ommendations?		
5. Did you encounter any probl	lems?		
6. How would you rate the con	npany on a scale of 1	to 5 (low to high)	on the following:
Professionalism	5		
Oualifications	5		
Final Product	5		
	5		
Cooperation	5		
Reliability			
7. Would you contract with this	s company again?		
Yes	No	Maybe	

REFERENCE CHECK

	ENCE (Company or Person)	: Rotunda Homes, Inc	
HONE	E#: <u>727-836-0304</u>	PERSON YO	U SPOKE TO: Terri Duggan
1. 1	Describe the work contracted	d by your firm/compan	y.
1	Survey & removal of gopher	tortoise from home sit	es.
2.	Was the work completed on Yes	time?	
3.	Were you satisfied with the t	final results?	
4.]	Did you implement their reco	ommendations?	
5.	Did you encounter any probl No	lems?	
6.]	How would you rate the com	npany on a scale of 1 to	5 (low to high) on the following:
	Professionalism	5	
	Qualifications	5	
	Final Product	5	
	Cooperation	5	
	-	5	
	Reliability		
7.	Would you contract with this	s company again?	

REFERENCE CHECK

BID #: 23-1G0011/DK BII) IIILE: Gopher Ior	toise Removal
RESPONDENT:Stroud	Engineering Consulta	ants
REFERENCE (Company or Person): Lyric Services, Inc	<u> </u>
PHONE #: _352-585-7946	PERSON Y	OU SPOKE TO: Rick Daniels
Describe the work contract relocate gopher tortoise from		
Was the work completed or Yes	n time?	
 Were you satisfied with the Yes 	e final results?	
4. Did you implement their re Yes	commendations?	
5. Did you encounter any prof	blems?	
6. How would you rate the co	mpany on a scale of 1	to 5 (low to high) on the following:
Professionalism	5	
Qualifications	5	
Final Product	5	
Cooperation	5	
Reliability	5	
7. Would you contract with th	is company again?	
Yesxx	No	Maybe
Reference checked by:	arie Warren	Date: 5/26/2023