

### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Erin Kluis Briggs, Procurement Coordinator – Special Projects

FROM: Steve Miller, Airport Manager

DATE: September 20, 2024

SUBJECT: RFQ 24-RFQG00714/EK Continuing Engineering Services - Airport

The Professional Services Review Committee (PSRC) met on August 26, 2024, to review proposals from five (5) proposing firms and has completed ranking of the respondent's proposals for RFQ 24-RFQG00714/EK Continuing Engineering Services - Airport Projects.

The Committee consisted of:

Donald Carey, Stormwater Engineer
Gina Grimmer, Finance Specialist
Andrew Johns. Senior Project Manager
Ron Patel, Engineering Division Manager
Erik van de Boogaard, Construction Projects Coordinator

The firms were ranked in order as follows:

#### Points (maximum score 100):

1.	Mohsen Design Group Inc	91.4
2.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	90.0
3.	AVCON, Inc	89.4
4.	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering PLC, LCC	89.2
5.	Graver	88.2

Staff requests the Procurement Department move forward with the above top 2 ranked firms.

BID #: 24-RFQG00714	BID TITLE: Continuing Engineering Services				
RESPONDENT: St. Pete - Clearwater International Airport					
REFERENCE (Company or Person): Scott A. Yarley, P.E.					
PHONE #: <u>727-453-7830</u> PERSO	ON YOU SPOKE TO:				
1. Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.  Mondan was with AID when he worked with us at PIE.  AID was on PIE's General Engineering Contract where we would use them on avancting of Pietects.  2. Was the work completed on time?  The work was always completed an time.					
3. Were you satisfied with the final results?  Yes. Mohoon's state always produced a good productwith accurate plans.					
4. Did you implement their recommendations? Les. Monson was a trooted advisor to the airport.					
5. Did you encounter any problems?  Not would. Only problems were unforced items uncovered during construction. Monseis staff was always responsive and proactive with a solution.  6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:					
Professionalism	5				
Qualifications	5				
Final Product	5				
Cooperation	5				
Reliability	5				
7. Would you contract with this	company again?				
Yes	Why Maybe  Date: Oct. 1, 2024				

BID #: 24-RFQG00714	BID TITLE: Continuing Engineering Services					
RESPONDENT: Albert Whitted Ai	rport / City of St. Petersburg					
REFERENCE (Company or Person): Richard J. Lesniak, Airport Manager						
PHONE #: 727-893-7657 PERSON YOU SPOKE TO:						
1. Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.  A/E services for the Albert Whitted Airport including master planning, and pavement/airfield lighting/civil design. They are currently doing our airfield vault rehab project which will include multiple airfield lighting system upgrades and adding a back-up generator to the vault.						
2. Was the work completed on the Yes. Tasks performed by them in the	time? ne past were completed in a timely manner.					
3. Were you satisfied with the f Yes. The final results were satisfactor						
4. Did you implement their reco Yes – the provided sound recommen						
5. Did you encounter any proble	ems?					
6. How would you rate the com	pany on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:					
Professionalism	5					
Qualifications	5					
Final Product	5					
Cooperation	5					
Reliability	5					
7. Would you contract with this	company again?					
Yes <u>X</u>	No Maybe					
Reference checked by:	Date:					

BID	#: 24-F	RFQG00	0714	BID TITLE: Continuing Engineering Services		
RESI	POND	ENT: <u>F</u>	ly Space Coast	t Titusville-Cocoa Airport Authority		
REFI	ERENC	CE (Con	npany or Perso	on): Kevin Daugherty, AAE		
PHO	NE #:	321-267	7-8780 PER	RSON YOU SPOKE TO:		
1.	. Desc	cribe the	work contract	ted by your firm/company.		
	impr	ovemer	nts, grant assist	taxiway rehabilitation, NAVAID improvements, airfield electrical stance and construction management along with landside projects. Oth ded DBE plans, feasibility studies, and conceptual site planning.	eı	
2.	Was	the wor	k completed or	on time?		
	Yes					
3.	. Were you satisfied with the final results?					
	Yes					
4.	Did y	you imp	lement their re	ecommendations?		
	Yes					
5.	Did y	you enco	ounter any prob	blems?		
	No					
6.	How	would y	you rate the con	impany on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:		
		Profe	ssionalism	<u>5</u>		
		Quali	fications	<u>5</u>		
		Final	Product	<u>5</u>		
		Coop	eration	<u>5</u>		
		Relial	bility	<u>5</u>		
7.	Woul	ld you co	ontract with th	is company again?		
		Yes	X	No Maybe		
Re	eferenc	e checke	ed by:	Date:		

BID#	: 24-RFQG0	0714	BID T	TTLE: Con	tinuing Eng	ineering Service	es	
RESP	ONDENT:	Brooksville-1	Гатра Bay 1	Regional Air	port			
REFE	RENCE (Co	mpany or Pe	rson): <u>Andr</u>	ew Johns. P	roject Mana	<u>iger</u>		
PHON	JE #: <u>407-90</u>	02-7611 P	ERSON YC	U SPOKE T	O:			
1.	1. Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.							
		isted of desig E) projects, a				ogram (CIP) an ees.	d Disadvantage	d Business
2.	Was the wo	ork completed	d on time?					
	Yes.							
3.	Were you s	atisfied with	the final res	ults?				
	Yes.							
4.	4. Did you implement their recommendations?							
	Yes.							
5.	. Did you encounter any problems?							
	No.							
6.	6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:							
	Pro	fessionalism	<u>5</u>					
	Qua	lifications	<u>5</u>					
	Fina	al Product	<u>5</u>					
	Coc	peration	<u>5</u>					
	Reli	ability	<u>5</u>					
7.	Would you	contract with	this compa	ny again?				
	Yes	<u>X</u>	No		Maybe .			
Re	ference chec	ked by:Cl	hristine Schi	nidt	Date:	10/16/24		

BID #: 24-RFQG00714	BID TITLE: Continuing Engineering Services					
RESPONDENT: Jacksonville Avia	tion Authority					
REFERENCE (Company or Person)	: <u>David Jones</u>					
PHONE #: 904-741-2000	PERSON YOU SPOKE TOO: David Jones					
<ul> <li>Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.</li> <li>In the process of extending a taxiway and creating another taxiway.</li> </ul>						
<ul><li>2. Was the work completed on time?</li><li>Yes, all work has been completed in a timely manner.</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>Were you satisfied with the final results?</li> <li>One of four on call firms, they have always been satisfied with the final results.</li> </ul>						
<ul><li>4. Did you implement their reco</li><li>Yes</li></ul>	<ul><li>4. Did you implement their recommendations?</li><li>Yes</li></ul>					
<ul><li>5. Did you encounter any proble</li><li>No</li></ul>	<ul><li>5. Did you encounter any problems?</li><li>No</li></ul>					
6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:						
Professionalism	<u>5</u>					
Qualifications	<u>5</u>					
Final Product	<u>5</u>					
Cooperation	<u>5</u>					
Reliability	<u>5</u>					
7. Would you contract with this company again?						
Yes <u>X</u>	No Maybe					
Reference checked by: Madison	Brannon Date: 10/3/24					

RID #	D#: 24-RFQG00/14 BID TITLE:	Continuing Engineering Services					
RESPONDENT: St. Pete-Clearwater International							
REFE	FERENCE (Company or Person): Angela Dun	kel, Airport Project Coordinator					
PHO	ONE #: 727-453-7882 PERSON YOU SPO	KE TO:					
1.	Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.  Over the years Michael Baker has provided planning, engineering, architectural, and RPR services for various vertical construction projects and flat-work projects.						
2.	2. Was the work completed on time?						
	Yes.						
3.	<ol><li>Were you satisfied with the final results? Yes.</li></ol>						
4.	4. Did you implement their recommendations	?					
	Yes.						
5.	Did you encounter any problems? No.						
6.	6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:						
	Professionalism 5.	_					
	Qualifications 5.	_					
	Final Product <u>5.</u>	_					
	Cooperation <u>5.</u>	_					
	Reliability <u>5.</u>	_					
7. Would you contract with this company again?							
	Yes <u>Yes.</u> No	Maybe					
Reference checked by: Date:							