

www.HernandoCounty.us

DATE:

December 19, 2023

TO:

Alisa Pike, Procurement Coordinator

FROM:

Laura A. Borgesi, PE, PSM, MPA, Traffic Engineer

SUBJECT:

Recommendation for Award Bid No. 23-RFQ00422/AP

Project Name: Continuing Engineering Services

The attached bid received from Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc., for the above referenced project/solicitation is submitted for your review, evaluation, and award recommendation. In accordance with the Hernando County Ordinance No. 93.16, Section 2-105 (6) and Purchasing and Contracts Department Policies and Procedures Manual, Procedure No. 130F, Paragraph 3. (D), Policy140I, Paragraph 2(H), please complete items 2 through 6 and return this award recommendation form with your technical evaluation attached, approved by your department director/manager.

1. Total Contract Bid Price is: \$Task Order Based

XYES NO Reference checks are satisfactory: If no, provide an explanation using the space provided below and/or attached to this form.

- 3. Recommend award as responsive and responsible bidder ☐ YES ☐ NO If no, provide a detailed explanation using the space provided below and/or attached to this form.
- Request Next Bidder? YES NO (Using five additional vendors)
- 5. Provide a statement that addresses the reason(s) for your recommendation or rejection. Include your basis for determining that pricing is fair and reasonable and that the Bidder has the ability and resources to perform in accordance with the bid terms, conditions and scope.

Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc. provides all the design engineering services that will be utilized on projects within the Department of Public Works. The references received for Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc., were all excellent and indicate that the company is reliable and responsive.

Provide the funding information: Fund <u>1015</u> Dept <u>03221</u> Account _ 5303103

Recommendation Approved By

Date: /2/19/20>?

Department Director/Manager

Enclosure

REFERENCE CHECK

BID#	: 23-RFQ00422/AP	BID TITLE: Continuing Professional Engineering Services					
RESP	ONDENT: Jones Edr	munds					
REFE	RENCE (Company or Person)	: Hillsborough County					
PHON	IE#: <u>813-614-3422</u>	PERSON YOU SPOKE TO: Phillip Haas, P.E.					
1.	Describe the work contracted	by your firm/company.					
		vements – Design and permitting for storm drainage system, including end section, curb inlet, stormwater pipe, and removal/restoration of					
2.	Was the work completed on time?						
	Yes so far, initial submittal on-time, additional work in progress						
3.	Were you satisfied with the f	inal results?					
	Yes so far, 100% plans are in	progress					
4.	Did you implement their recommendations?						
	In progress						
5.	Did you encounter any problems?						
	No						
6.	How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:						
	Professionalism	5					
	Qualifications	5					
	Final Product	N/A					
	Cooperation	5					
	Reliability	5					
7.	Would you contract with this	company again?					
	Yes <u>X</u>	No Maybe					
P.	ference checked by: Tina R	P Duenninger Date: 11/29/2023					

REFERENCE CHECK

BID #: 23-RFQ00422/AP BID TITLE: Continuing Professional Engineering Services								
RESPONDENT: Jones Edmunds								
REFERENCE (Company or Person): Marion County								
PHONE #: 352-671-8686 PERSON YOU SPOKE TO: Christine Vrabic, P.E.								
Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.								
Country Gardens Flood Relief – Professional engineering and consulting services to design and permit improvements to Country Gardens subdivision, including surveying, geotechnical, environmental, and permit applications submittals. Contract Cost: \$157,397.00								
2. Was the work completed on time?								
Yes.								
3. Were you satisfied with the final results?								
Yes. The project team members always made themselves available for questions and went above and beyond in their coordination efforts.								
4. Did you implement their recommendations?								
The project did not reach an acceptable cost-benefit ratio for FEMA HMGP funding. Marion County is in the process of analyzing their budget to see if the project can move into construction If so, the plans and specifications provided by Jones Edmunds would be used for bidding and construction of the improvements.								
5. Did you encounter any problems?								
No problems encountered with the consultant. The project had several difficult design aspects that we were able to overcome.								
6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:								
Professionalism5								
Qualifications5								
Final Product4								
Cooperation5								

Reliability

7. Would	7. Would you contract with this company again?							
	Yes	X	No		Maybe	_		
Reference checked by: Tina R. Duenninger			ninger		Date: _	11/28/2023		

REFERENCE CHECK

BID #: 23-RFQ00422/AP BID TITLE: Continuing Professional Engineering Services
RESPONDENT: Jones Edmunds
REFERENCE (Company or Person): St. Johns County
PHONE #: 904-209-0184 PERSON YOU SPOKE TO: Tommy Mashburn
1. Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.
Old Moultrie Road-US 1 to Summerhill Circle – Prepared engineering plans for drainage and roadway improvements, including 4ft. paved shoulders, 5ft. wide sidewalk on east side of corridor and permitting/exemptions. Contract Cost: \$120,467.00
2. Was the work completed on time? Yes
3. Were you satisfied with the final results? Yes
4. Did you implement their recommendations? Yes
5. Did you encounter any problems? No
6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:
Professionalism 4
Qualifications 4
Final Product 4
Cooperation 4
Reliability 4
7. Would you contract with this company again?
Yes No Maybe
Reference checked by: Ting R. Duenninger Date: 11/16/2023

TECHNICAL EVALUATION FOR BID AWARD

RFQ# 23-RFQ00422/AP Continuing Professional Engineering Services

VENDOR: JONES EDMUNDS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

This document has been developed to facilitate your evaluation. Your evaluation should be limited to the attached. Procurement will ensure that all documents required by the solicitation are contained for evaluation. This documentation will be included with the bid submitted for evaluation. Bids that are determined non-responsive by the Procurement Department will not be submitted to you for evaluation. Please note that you should focus your attention on the areas contained within this document. Your evaluation will be a major consideration as to the responsiveness and/or responsibility of a bidder.

A. Is the amount of the bid reasonable and realistic for the services to be performed or the item or equipment to be purchased?

NO BID REQUIRED, BASED ON SKILL AND REFERENCES

If the bid is considered reasonable/realistic, provide justification for your conclusion.

If you consider the bid to be unreasonable and/or unrealistic, please explain in detail.

B. Was an independent County estimate developed prior to soliciting for the procurement?

<u>NO</u>

If affirmative, submit this estimate with your evaluation in the same format as the bid schedule and describe the extent the estimate was used in the analysis of the bid.

C. Do the resources (manpower, equipment, supplies, etc.) proposed by the bidder meet the minimum requirements, if any, established by the solicitation?

YES

If minimums were not identified in the solicitation, you may request information on proposed resources from the bidder **through Procurement.**