



DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

ENGINEERING ♦ FACILITIES ♦ REAL PROPERTY ♦ ROADS/BRIDGES ♦ STORMWATER ♦ TRAFFIC

1525 EAST JEFFERSON STREET ♦ BROOKSVILLE, FLORIDA 34601
P 352.754.4060 ♦ F 352.754.4423 ♦ W www.HernandoCounty.us

DATE: December 19, 2023
TO: Alisa Pike, Procurement Coordinator
FROM: Laura A. Borgesi, PE, PSM, MPA, Traffic Engineer
SUBJECT: Recommendation for Award Bid No. 23-RFQ00422/AP
Project Name: Continuing Engineering Services

The attached bid received from Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc., for the above referenced project/solicitation is submitted for your review, evaluation, and award recommendation. In accordance with the Hernando County Ordinance No. 93.16, Section 2-105 (6) and Purchasing and Contracts Department Policies and Procedures Manual, Procedure No. 130F, Paragraph 3. (D), Policy140I, Paragraph 2(H), please complete items 2 through 6 and return this award recommendation form with your technical evaluation attached, approved by your department director/manager.

- 1. Total Contract Bid Price is: \$Task Order Based
2. Reference checks are satisfactory: [X] YES [] NO
3. Recommend award as responsive and responsible bidder [X] YES [] NO
4. Request Next Bidder? [X] YES [] NO (Using five additional vendors)
5. Provide a statement that addresses the reason(s) for your recommendation or rejection.

Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc. provides all the design engineering services that will be utilized on projects within the Department of Public Works. The references received for Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc. were all excellent and indicate that the company is reliable and responsive.

6. Provide the funding information: Fund 1015 Dept 03221 Account 5303103

Recommendation Approved By: [Signature] Date: 12/19/2023
Department Director/Manager

Enclosure

REFERENCE CHECK

BID #: 23-RFQ00422/AP

BID TITLE: Continuing Professional Engineering Services

RESPONDENT: Jones Edmunds

REFERENCE (Company or Person): Hillsborough County

PHONE #: 813-614-3422 PERSON YOU SPOKE TO: Phillip Haas, P.E.

1. Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.

Kirby Road Drainage Improvements – Design and permitting for storm drainage system, including ditch bottom inlets, mitered end section, curb inlet, stormwater pipe, and removal/restoration of curbing and fencing.

Contract Cost: \$137,060.34

2. Was the work completed on time?

Yes so far, initial submittal on-time, additional work in progress

3. Were you satisfied with the final results?

Yes so far, 100% plans are in progress

4. Did you implement their recommendations?

In progress

5. Did you encounter any problems?

No

6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:

Professionalism 5

Qualifications 5

Final Product N/A

Cooperation 5

Reliability 5

7. Would you contract with this company again?

Yes X No Maybe

Reference checked by: Tina R. Duenninger

Date: 11/29/2023

REFERENCE CHECK

BID #: 23-RFQ00422/AP

BID TITLE: Continuing Professional Engineering Services

RESPONDENT: Jones Edmunds

REFERENCE (Company or Person): Marion County

PHONE #: 352-671-8686 PERSON YOU SPOKE TO: Christine Vrabic, P.E.

1. Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.

Country Gardens Flood Relief – Professional engineering and consulting services to design and permit improvements to Country Gardens subdivision, including surveying, geotechnical, environmental, and permit applications submittals.
Contract Cost: \$157,397.00

2. Was the work completed on time?

Yes.

3. Were you satisfied with the final results?

Yes. The project team members always made themselves available for questions and went above and beyond in their coordination efforts.

4. Did you implement their recommendations?

The project did not reach an acceptable cost-benefit ratio for FEMA HMGP funding. Marion County is in the process of analyzing their budget to see if the project can move into construction. If so, the plans and specifications provided by Jones Edmunds would be used for bidding and construction of the improvements.

5. Did you encounter any problems?

No problems encountered with the consultant. The project had several difficult design aspects that we were able to overcome.

6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:

Professionalism 5

Qualifications 5

Final Product 4

Cooperation 5

Reliability 5

7. Would you contract with this company again?

Yes _____ No _____ Maybe _____

Reference checked by: Tina R. Duenninger Date: 11/28/2023

REFERENCE CHECK

BID #: 23-RFQ00422/AP

BID TITLE: Continuing Professional Engineering Services

RESPONDENT: Jones Edmunds

REFERENCE (Company or Person): St. Johns County

PHONE #: 904-209-0184 PERSON YOU SPOKE TO: Tommy Mashburn

1. Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.

Old Moultrie Road-US 1 to Summerhill Circle – Prepared engineering plans for drainage and roadway improvements, including 4ft. paved shoulders, 5ft. wide sidewalk on east side of corridor, and permitting/exemptions.

Contract Cost: \$120,467.00

2. Was the work completed on time? Yes

3. Were you satisfied with the final results? Yes

4. Did you implement their recommendations? Yes

5. Did you encounter any problems? No

6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:

Professionalism 4

Qualifications 4

Final Product 4

Cooperation 4

Reliability 4

7. Would you contract with this company again?

Yes

No

Maybe

Reference checked by: Tina R. Duenninger

Date: 11/16/2023

TECHNICAL EVALUATION FOR BID AWARD

RFQ# 23-RFQ00422/AP
Continuing Professional Engineering Services

VENDOR: JONES EDMUNDS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

This document has been developed to facilitate your evaluation. Your evaluation should be limited to the attached. **Procurement will ensure that all documents required by the solicitation are contained for evaluation. This documentation will be included with the bid submitted for evaluation. Bids that are determined non-responsive by the Procurement Department will not be submitted to you for evaluation.** Please note that you should focus your attention on the areas contained within this document. Your evaluation will be a major consideration as to the responsiveness and/or responsibility of a bidder.

- A. Is the amount of the bid reasonable and realistic for the services to be performed or the item or equipment to be purchased?

NO BID REQUIRED, BASED ON SKILL AND REFERENCES

If the bid is considered reasonable/realistic, provide justification for your conclusion.

If you consider the bid to be unreasonable and/or unrealistic, please explain in detail.

- B. Was an independent County estimate developed prior to soliciting for the procurement?

NO

If affirmative, submit this estimate with your evaluation in the same format as the bid schedule and describe the extent the estimate was used in the analysis of the bid.

- C. Do the resources (manpower, equipment, supplies, etc.) proposed by the bidder meet the minimum requirements, if any, established by the solicitation?

YES

If minimums were not identified in the solicitation, you may request information on proposed resources from the bidder **through Procurement.**