

#### FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF **Environmental Protection**

Southwest District

Temple Terrace, Florida 33637-0926

13051 North Telecom Parkway #101

**Ron DeSantis** Governor

Jeanette Nuñez Lt. Governor

**Alexis A. Lambert** Secretary

February 12, 2025

Gordon Onderdonk, P.E., Director of Utilities Hernando County Public Utilities 15365 Cortez Blvd Brooksville, FL 34613 gonderdonk@co.hernando.fl.us

Re: Compliance Evaluation Inspection

Hernando County Airport WRF Facility ID No.: FLA017223

Hernando County

Dear Mr. Onderdonk:

Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("Department") personnel conducted a Domestic Wastewater Compliance Evaluation Inspection and Sanitary Sewer Overflow Preventative Inspection of the above-referenced facility on January 22, 2025. Based on observations by the inspector and information provided following the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Hannah Teague at (813) 470-5727, or via e-mail at: Hannah.Teague@FloridaDEP.gov.

Sincerely,

Emily Rosson

**Emily Larson Environmental Manager** Compliance Assurance Program Southwest District Florida Department of Environmental Protection

**Enclosure: Inspection Report** 

Landis Legg, HCUD; Landis L@co.hernando.fl.us cc:

Bruce Batten, HCUD; bbatten@co.hernando.fl.us

Emily Larson, FDEP; Emily.Larson@FloridaDEP.gov Hannah Teague, FDEP; Hannah. Teague@FloridaDEP.gov



### FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION WASTEWATER COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT

#### **Facility Details**

<b>Facility Name</b>	Hernando County Airport WRF		WAFR ID	FLA017223	
Physical Address	15400 Downwind Way	City, State, Zip	Brooksville, FL 34601		
County	Hernando		Facility Phone #	(352) 540-4368	
Permit Issued:	7/10/2019	Permit Expiration:	7/9/2024		
Facility Type	Domestic Wastewater		Is the Facility NPDES	<b>S (Y/N)</b> No	)
Latitude	Degrees ° 28	Minutes '	27	Seconds "	23.1318 N
Longitude	Degrees ° 82	Minutes '	28	Seconds "	42.9836

#### **Inspection Details**

Inspection Type	Entry Date	Exit Date	
CEI	1/22/2025	1/22/2025	
	Entry Time (HH:MM AM/PM)	Exit Time (HH:MM AM/PM)	
SSOP	10:54 AM	12:30 PM	
Samples Taken (Y/N) No	RQ# N/A	QA Conducted (Y/N)	No
Name(s) and Title of Field	Operator Certification	Email	Phone Number
Representatives(s)			
Christopher Bishop, Lead Operator	A-0023622	tbishop@co.hernando.fl.us	N/A
Landis Legg, Utility Plant Supervisor	N/A	LandisL@co.hernando.fl.us	(352) 753-4820
Name(s) and address of Permittee /	Title	Email	Phone Number
Designated Rep.			
Gordon Onderdonk	Director of Utilities	gonderdonk@co.hernando.fl.us	(352) 540-4368
15365 Cortez Boulevard	Permittee	N/A	N/A
Brooksville, FL 34613			

#### **Inspector Information**

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspectors(s)	District Office/Phone Number	Date		
Hannah Teague  Environmental Specialist  Hanah Taya	Southwest District Office (813) 470-5727	Click or tap to enter a date.		
Name and Signature of Reviewer	District Office/Phone Number	Date		
Emily Larson, Environmental Manager  Employee	SWD / (813) 470-5955	2/11/2025		

#### **Facility Compliance Eval Areas**

	$IC = In\ Compliance;\ MC = Minor\ Out\ of\ Compliance;\ NC = Out\ of\ Compliance;\ SC = Significant\ Out\ of\ Compliance;\ NA = Not\ Applicable;\ NE = Not\ Evaluated$							
S	Significant Non-Compliance Criteria Should be Reviewed when Out of Compliance Ratings Are Given in Areas Marked by a "*"							
Ove	Overall Compliance Determination Out of Compliance							
IC	*Permit	IC	Laboratory	IC	Facility Site Review	MC	*Effluent Quality	
IC	*Compliance Schedules	IC	Sampling	IC	Flow Measurement	IC	*Effluent Disposal	
IC	*Records & Reports	IC	Biosolids	IC	*Operation & Maintenance	MC	*Groundwater	
IC	SSO Survey	NA	Other	NA	Nutrient Management Plan	NA	Access Control	
NA	Site Restrictions & Setbacks	NA	Odor/Nuisand	ce NA	Site Monitoring	NA	MLPW Disposal	
NA	Manure Solids							

Clear Report

Hide/Unhide Placeholders Generate Blank Rows (for field paper setup)

**Generate Deficiency**& Observation Rows

Finish Inspection Report Form

#### Single Event Violations ("\*" SNC SEVs)

Check for Yes	Evaluation Area	Description	Finding Description	Finding ID
	Permit	Effluent Violations - Unapproved Bypass	Wastewater was diverted from a portion of the treatment	UNBY
			process without department approval.	
	*Permit	Permit Violations - Discharge Without a Valid Permit	The facility was operating without a permit or with an expired permit.	UPHI
	Permit	Permit Violations - Failure to Submit Timely Permit Renewal Application	The permittee failed to submit an application to renew the existing permit at least 180 days prior to expiration.	PFSA
	Laboratory	Management Practice Violations - Laboratory Not Certified	The laboratory was not certified by the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC).	LNCE
	Sampling	Monitoring Violations - Analysis not Conducted	The facility failed to collect and/or analyze samples as required by permit or enforcement action.	ANCV
	Sampling Monitoring Violations - Failure to Monitor The facility failed to collect and/or analyze routine or fo		The facility failed to collect and/or analyze routine or follow- up toxicity samples.	FTOX
	Records and Reports	Management Practice Violations - Failure to Develop Adequate SPCC Plan	The facility failed to develop or maintain their Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures (SPCC) plan.	FSPC
	Records and	Management Practice Violations - Failure	The facility failed to maintain records for the required	FMRR
	Reports Records and	to Maintain Records  Reporting Violations - Failure to Notify	retention period.  The permittee failed to notify the department of any event or	RSWP
	Reports	Reporting violations - Failure to Notiny	activity that requires notification as required by permit or rule.	KSWI
	Records and	Reporting Violations - Failure to Submit	The permittee failed to submit any DMR required by rule,	FDMR
	Reports Records and	DMRs Reporting Violations - Failure to submit	permit, or enforcement action in a timely manner.  The facility failed to submit any report required by rule,	FRPT
	Reports	required report (non-DMR, non- pretreatment)	permit, enforcement action or inspection activity except for DMRs.	
	Facility Site Review	Management Practice Violations - Improper Land Application (non-503, non- CAFO)	The land application system was not being maintained.	LASN
	Flow Measurement	Monitoring Violations - No Flow Measurement Device	The facility failed to install a flow measurement device, an approved flow measurement device, or a working flow measurement device.	NOFL
	Operation and Maintenance	Management Practice Violations - Improper Operation and Maintenance	The facility failed to follow their operation and maintenance plan/manual.	IONM
	Operation and	Management Practice Violations -	The facility had an inflow and infiltration problem causing	ININ
	Maintenance Operation and	Inflow/Infiltration (I/I)  Management Practice Violations - No	collection system issues and/or operational issues.  The facility was being operated without a certified operator	ONCO
	Maintenance	Licensed/Certified Operator	or by an operator that is not licensed for the size of plant.	
	*Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Failed Toxicity Test	Persistent acute toxicity has been documented through follow-up tests.	EATX
	*Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Failed Toxicity Test	Persistent chronic toxicity has been documented through follow-up tests.	ECTX
	*Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Failed Toxicity Test	Persistent acute or chronic toxicity has been documented in the effluent through the use of routine and follow-up tests.	ETOX
	Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Narrative Effluent Violation	The facility violated a permit or enforcement narrative effluent limit.	XNEV
	Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Reported Fish Kill	The facility had a discharge of wastewater that resulted in a fish kill.	XFSH
	Sanitary Sewer	WW SSO - Discharge to Waters	A sewage spill from any components of a	SSO1
	Overflow Survey		collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance	
	Sanitary Sewer	WW SSO - Failure to Maintain Records or	system or drainage ditch.  The facility failed to keep routine documentation and	SSO2
	Overflow Survey	Meet Record Keeping Requirements	reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system.	3302
	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Failure to monitor	The facility failed to collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters.	SSO3
	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Failure to report violation that may endanger public health 122.41(I)(7)	The facility failed to report a sewage spill within 24 hours of	SSO4
	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Improper Operation and Maintenance	discovery.  The facility failed to perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission system in	SSO5
	Overnow survey	IVIGITICE	good working order.	

$\boxtimes$	Sanitary Sewer	WW SSO - Overflow to Dry Land	A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmission	SSO6
	Overflow Survey		system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface	
			waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch,	
			stream, pond, or lake.	

#### Permit

<b>Compliance Rating</b>	In Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?		Yes	0	No	
	Questions	•		·	
*Is the permit current?			Yes	5	
Is a copy of the permit available onsite?			Yes	5	
Is the facility operated in accordance with the peri	nit?		Yes	5	
*Was the facility constructed or modified with an Department?	appropriate or valid permit issued b	/ the	N/	A	
Has the facility submitted the permit renewal appl	ication 180 days prior to the expirat	on date?	Yes	S	
If the permittee for the facility has changed did the change?	e department receive notification of	this	N/	A	
If the permit is accompanied by a Consent Order of the conditions of the order?	r Administrative Order are, they abi	ding by	N/	A	
Is wastewater from a portion of the treatment pro	N/	A			
*Is the facility discharging to waters of the state w	N/	A			
*Was the facility free from unpermitted discharge high potential for water quality or health impacts?		als with a	Yes	5	
Is the facility free from any Permit violation not lis	ted above that needs to be addresse	d?	Yes		
<ul> <li>Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program         Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"</li> <li>Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencie</li> </ul>	es	Reviewed	when (	Checklist Items	
• Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility					
Observations:		5			
The permit was available onsite, and the permit re	newal is currently being reviewed b	, the Dep	artme	nt.	

## Compliance Schedule

<b>Compliance Rating</b>	In Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	0	No	
	Questions				
If the facility has a compliance schedule in a permi	t, Administrative Order or Enforcement	Ī	Yes		
Action are they in compliance with the schedule?					
*Are the Compliance Date(s), Construction Milestone(s), Enforcement Order Schedule(s) or				N/A	
Final Compliance Date started/completed within 9					
Has the facility completed construction and submitted a Notification of Completion of				A	
Construction for Wastewater Facilities or Activities					
Has the Notification of Availability of Record Draw	N/A	4			
Manuals (Form 62-620.910(13)) been submitted as					
If the facility is under a Toxicity Corrective Action F	Plan, are they in compliance with the plans	an?	N/A	<b>A</b>	

Is th	ne facility free from any Compliance Schedule violation not listed above that needs to be	Yes
add	ressed?	
	Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed w. Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"	hen Checklist Items
•	Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies	
•	Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility	
Obs	ervations:	

The facility is in compliance with all compliance schedule items and is currently meeting the Total Nitrogen limits for the Weeki Wachee Basin Management Action Plan.

#### Laboratory

Compliance Rating	In Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?	(	Yes	0	No	
	Questions				
Is there a current copy of the laboratory certificati	Yes	Yes			
If the facility has an onsite laboratory does it have a Florida Department of Health					
Environmental Laboratory Certification Program co	ertification?				
Facility DOH Certification #			N/A		
racinty Don Certification #			N/A	Α	
Contract Lab Name and DOH Certification #			AEL	<sub>-</sub> Tampa	#E84589
			N/A	Α	
Does the onsite laboratory use sample analysis me	·	or a test	N/A	Α	
method that has gone through the EPA alternative					
Does the facility have standard operating procedu			N/A	A	
620.10(18) F.A.C. including 40 CFR Part 136; including	• .	are			
cleaning, reagent/standard use, and troubleshooti			N/A	_	
Does the facility have a QA/QC program with a written QA/QC manual as required by 40 CFR					
122.41 that is up to date and available for review?					
Does the facility follow the procedures set in the QA/QC manual; including instrument					
calibration/maintenance, checks on standard solutions, sample analysis precision/accuracy					
limits on a prescribed bases and QC samples (dupl	-	.aa a d	81/	Δ.	
Is the detailed record complete and available for r		mea	N/A	А	
including the order of calibration, QA/QC, bracket Does the facility have QA/QC records on the reage			N/A	^	
calibration/maintenance, incubator temperature a			IN/	A	
Does the facility's laboratory documentation of the		vhen	N/A	Λ	
sample QA/QC fall outside acceptable precision ar	·		'''	^	
Does the facility's laboratory take and record corre			N/A	Δ	
data falls out of the precision and accuracy limits?		poo	''	•	
Are records of standard(s) and reagent(s) preparation maintained at the laboratory?				A	
Is the laboratory maintaining adequate records for reagent preparation(s)?				A	
Does the laboratory have a system for uniformly re		reporting			
data; including formulas, significant figures, round	G. 1				
Is the facility's laboratory adequate for analyzing s			N/A	A	
space for instrument use/storage free of contamir	•				
area, ventilation, humidity and temperature contr	ol?				

Does the Laboratory meet NELAC and EPA standards including; dry and clean sample storage	N/A
locations, sample custodian(s) to ensure upon receipt of samples, proper sample storage,	
preservation and custody documentation?	
Does the facility use appropriate standards that are prepared in volumetric glassware, checked	N/A
against reliable primary standards, labeled properly, stored in clean containers, and discarded	
when expired or degraded?	
Does the facility's laboratory analyst(s) demonstrate competency and appropriate training;	N/A
including ability to follow procedures, ability to meet precision and accuracy limits, knowledge	
of equipment and analytical methods.	
If the facility test requires temperature measurement, is there a thermometer present that is	N/A
routinely calibrated against NIST thermometer within calibration date range?	
Is the sample refrigerator temperature correct to meet the preservation requirements for the	N/A
samples stored within?	
Is the facility free from any Laboratory violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
Significant Non Compliance Critoria per Program Cuidance Mamo OWM 00 01 Should be Paviewed	when Checklist Items

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "\*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

The laboratory above is certified to conduct all permit required analysis. The lab certificate was current and onsite during the time of inspection. It is due to expire on June 30, 2025.

#### Sampling

Compliance Rating	In Compliance		
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	O No
	Questions		_
In facility log books or other documentation, are tl	ne daily records appropriately recorded	,	Yes
including composite sampler or other temperature	es, and daily calibration of meters.		
Does the facility maintain records of their daily cal	ibration of their pH meter, chlorine me	ter,	Yes
dissolved oxygen meter?			
Does the facility maintain records of their daily che	ecks of their in-line meter(s) with their f	field	Yes
meter(s)?			
Do field sheets document that the collection and a	inalysis of field tests were analyzed with	hin the	Yes
15-minute holding time.			
Are meters calibrated and sample analysis conduc	Yes		
DEP SOP and NELAC guidelines? (calibration frequence)	ency and sample bracketing for pH, tota	al	
residual chlorine (TRC), turbidity, DO)			
Are all the primary and secondary standards used	to calibrate and verify meters, used price	or to	Yes
expiration dates and verified against primary stand	dards appropriate for pH, TRC, turbidity	, DO?	
Are the inline meters reading within established lin	mits compared to the bench meters? (T	RC ≤	Yes
20%, Turbidity ≤ 20%, pH 0.2 SU)			
Were safe access points for obtaining representati	Yes		
Are influent sampling points put prior to internal fa	Yes		
filter backwash and return activated sludge (RAS)?			
Are samples being collected and analyzed as requi	red by the permit or enforcement actio	n;	Yes
including location, type (grab/composite), time, ar	nd frequency?		

Are samples being collected in the proper containers, preserved and analyzed in appropriate	Yes
hold times in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136, Table II?	
If the facility has a composite sampler with cooling system at the influent/effluent sampling	Yes
location is there a thermometer present in the sampler that is annually checked against NIST	
thermometers?	
Is composite sampling being conducted appropriately; including purging, sampling velocity at	Yes
least 2fps, clean tubing, individual sample volume of at least 100 mL, sample storage of <6°C	
preservation, hold times and representative samples?	
Did the facility have their Chain of Custody records?	Yes
If sampling was conducted and observed during the inspection did the sampling follow DEP SOP	N/A
requirements?	
Did the facility collect and/or analyze routine or follow-up toxicity samples as required by	N/A
permit or enforcement action?	
Is the facility free from any Sampling violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "\*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

Auto-samplers were set to collect flow proportioned composite samples for the Influent and Effluent samplers. Fridge gaskets and tubing were in good condition. The NIST-certified thermometers in the Influent sampler read at 3.8°C and the effluent sampler read at 5°C.

#### **Records and Reports**

<b>Compliance Rating</b>	In Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	C No	
	Questions			
Are the entries in the operator logbook clear, cond	cise, informative and relevant?		Yes	
Was copy of the current O&M manual available at	the time of the inspection?		Yes	
Is there a current operator license?			Yes	
Is there a current RPZ certification?			Yes	
Is there a copy of the current Operating Protocol f	or Part 3 Reuse?		N/A	
Does the facility have and maintain their Spill Prev (SPCC) Plan?	rention Control and Counter measureme	ent	N/A	
Are all required documents and reports available a	Yes			
Does the facility maintain the records onsite for th	e required retention period?		Yes	
Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) Review Period		January 01, 2024 – January 01, 2025 <b>Yes</b>		
Are the discharge monitoring reports completed properly?			Yes	
Are the DMRs submitted on the proper form?			Yes	
Is an authorized representative signing the DMRs?			Yes	
Has the permittee submitted an annual Reclaimed Water and/or Effluent Analysis Report?			Yes	
Does the facility submit their monitoring results for Giardia and Cryptosporidium in a timely manner?			N/A	
A review of the last toxicity test did not reveal any	deficiencies?		N/A	
Has the facility submitted all report(s) during the review period that are required by rule, permit, enforcement action or inspection activity, other than DMRs?			Yes	

*Has the facility timely submitted DMRs as required by rule, permit, or enforcement action? (If	Yes
either reports are >30 days late meets SNC criteria)	
Has the facility submitted all final compliance schedule reports as required by rule, permit, or	Yes
enforcement action?	
Has the permittee notified the Department of any event or activity that requires notification as	N/A
required by permit or rule?	
*Are records or reports free from falsified data?	Yes
Is the facility free from any Records and Reports violation not listed above that needs to be	Yes
addressed?	

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "\*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

All records were viewed within the main building of the plant and are very well organized. The Operator Logbook was current and onsite noting daily entries of the plant. The Operator Licenses were hung on the wall indicating that the license for Operator, Christopher Bishop (A-0023622), is set to expire April 2025. There are five RPZs located at the plant that all passed on August 15, 2024. All O&M Manuals were contained in binders. The 2024 Annual Reuse Report was submitted and approved by the Department on October 15, 2024. The 2024 Annual Biosolid Summary is submitted on an annual DMR and was submitted to the Department on February 05, 2025.

#### Facility Site Review

Compliance Rating	In Compliance		
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	O No
	Questions		
The headworks was free from excessive corrosion.			Yes
The headwork is free from evidence of recent overflows.			Yes
Is the odor control system operational at the head	works?		Yes
Is the comminutor operational at the headworks?			N/A
Is the grit separator operational at the headworks	?		Yes
Is the bar screen cleaned on a routine basis?			Yes
Is the mechanical bar screen functioning as intend	ed?		Yes
Are screenings and grit being collected from the h	eadworks in suitable containers?		Yes
Rags, grit and/or screening are being disposed of p	properly.		Yes
Are screenings and grit from the headworks being	disposed at a Class I Landfill?		Yes
Are records of the disposal of the screenings and g	rit collected at the headworks availabl	e?	N/A
The leachate from the screening dumpster(s) is piped to the headworks and not onto the		Yes	
ground.			
Is the clarifier free from solids discharging over the weir(s)?			Yes
Is the clarifier free from excessive sand and/or grit accumulation?			Yes
Is the clarifier free from excessive scum, algae and	or trash overflowing the weir?		Yes
Does the skimmer appear to be functional in the c	larifier?		Yes
Is the sludge collector and pump functional in the	clarifier?		Yes
Are the clarifier weir(s) level?			Yes
Is the clarifier free from short circuiting with loss over the weir?			Yes
Are the aeration basins diffusers free from clogs a	nd providing adequate mixing?		Yes
Was the time clock or manual controls for the aera	ation system operational at the time of	the	Yes
inspection?			
Is the RAS line properly located?			Yes

Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
N/A
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
N/A

Are the chlorine gas cylinders properly secured?	N/A
Is a fresh supply of ammonia available to test for leaks in the gas chlorination system?	N/A
Do the UV ballast control boxes have adequate ventilation?	N/A
Does the plant staff have access to UV protective eyeglasses?	N/A
Is the facility maintaining adequate records of UV lamp operating hours?	N/A
Are the UV lamps and ballast being cleaned in accordance with the manufacturer's	N/A
recommendation?	14/7
Does the facility have an adequate inventory of spare parts for the UV system?	N/A
Is the facility conducting routine performance checks on the UV system?	N/A
Is the UV intensity monitoring equipment operational?	N/A
Is the stilling well free from a thick layer of sludge and/or trash?	N/A
Is the chlorine contact chamber providing a minimum contact time of 15 minutes?	Yes
Chlorine and SO2 cylinders marked with empty/full tags?	N/A
Is the automatic SO2 feed operational within de-chlorination process?	N/A
Is the SO2 system free from frost within de-chlorination process?	N/A
Are the bisulfite (SO2) gas cylinders properly secured for de-chlorination?	N/A
Was there adequate ventilation in the SO2 room?	N/A
Is the filter media free from solids that could cause plugging and/or overflow?	N/A
Is the land application system being maintained?	N/A
If an injection well was plugged or abandoned, was it completed appropriately with DEP	N/A
approval?	14/1
If a well was constructed, was it permitted prior to beginning construction and constructed as	N/A
required by permit?	,
Is the injection well Operation and Maintenance done satisfactorily?	N/A
Is there adequate access to all monitoring locations?	Yes
Is the exterior of the tanks, wall, and/or pipes of the facility free from leaks?	Yes
Are the facility grounds clean and well maintained?	Yes
Is the required signage adequate?	Yes
The facility was free from odors emanating from the facility.	Yes
The facility was free from excessive noise which could be heard beyond the boundaries of the	Yes
facility.	
Is the facility providing safety measures at all times including adequate lighting?	Yes
The facility is disposing of sludge appropriately, with no sludge being disposed of on the facility	Yes
grounds.	
Was an alternative power source available at the facility?	Yes
Is the onsite generator tested under load on a monthly basis?	Yes
Are records available for the testing of the generator?	N/A
Is the area around the lift station(s) maintained?	Yes
Are there warning signs with emergency contact information on and/or around the lift	Yes
station(s)?	
Does the facility have a fence around their lift station(s)?	Yes
Is the gate around the lift station and the cover to the lift station locked?	Yes
Is the cover to the lift station(s) free from safety hazards?	Yes
Are there two functioning pumps that alternate?	Yes
Is the electrical panel in good working order and free from needed repair and/or replacement?	Yes
Was the collection system or lift station free from offsite objectionable odors?	Yes
The lift station visual and audio alarm operating satisfactory?	Yes
Are the potable water supply lines and the facility free from cross connections?	Yes

Is an RPZ in place and free of leaks on all potable water supply lines?	Yes
Is there a record of testing available on the RPZ?	Yes
Is the facility free from any Facility Site Review violation not listed above that needs to be	Yes
addressed?	

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "\*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

The facility was in excellent condition and all the modifications in the permit have been completed.

At the headworks, the integrated screenings wash press and compactor, manual bar rack, hydraulic-induced vortex grit removal system, odor control, and flow splitter box were noted to be in good condition. Due to low flow, the facility was not using portion i of the secondary treatment within the permit. After the headworks, the 6-stage BNR system with 17 aerobic cells and 19 anoxic cells were in good condition. The aeration basins were functioning as intended and contained medium brown mixed liquor with no foam present. No dead zones were observed, and the blowers were functioning as intended. The aerobic cells appeared to be operating as intended. Both clarifiers were in use and contained minor ashing on the surface and the arm bars were functioning as intended with no solids going over the weirs and no algae present. A sludge judge test was performed that morning indicating that the sludge blanket for clarifier #1 was running at 3.8 feet and clarifier #2 was running at 2.73ft on a 20-foot sidewall. Filtration is provided by two disk filters within a stainless-steel tank that are self-backwashing. The disinfection system consists of two chlorine contact chambers (CCC) with only one in operation due to low flow. The CCC was in great condition containing clear effluent.

#### Flow Measurement

Compliance Rating	In Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	0 '	Yes	⊙ No	
	Questions			
Is there easy access to flow meter?			Yes	
Is the flow meter in the correct location?			Yes	
Is the flow measuring device installed properly?			Yes	
Is the flow meter calibrated at least annually and i	s it current?		Yes	
When was the flow meter last calibrated?			October 21, 2024	
when was the now meter last camprateur			Yes	
Is the flow measurement device operating within +/- 10% of the actual flow?			Yes	
Is the flow meter operating properly at the time of the inspection?		Yes		
The chart recorder and/or totalizer for the flow meter was operational at the time of the			N/A	
inspection.				
The elapsed time meters on the lift station pumps were functioning.			N/A	
The flow entering the convergence section of the Parshall Flume was free of excessive			Yes	
turbulence.				
Is the facility free from any Flow Measurement vic	lation not listed above that needs to be		Yes	
addressed?				

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "\*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

#### **Observations:**

The facility has the following permitted flow meters:

FLW-01: Total facility flow measured at the influent flow meter.

FLW-02: Flows before the CCC measured in an open channel Parshall flume utilizing an ultrasonic water level detector.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Compliance Rating	In Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	0	No	
	Questions	'			
Does the facility have adequate plant staffing?			Yes		
Is a certified operator operating the wastewater treatment facility with the appropriate license		Yes			
level for the size of the plant?					
Is the operator performing treatment plant operation	tion and maintenance duties in a respon	sible	Yes		
and professional manner?					
Is the plant O&M log maintained in a hard-bound	book with consecutive page numbering,	or	Yes		
another approved format?					
Does the facility have an O&M manual, and does t	he facility's O&M manual reflect the cur	rent	Yes		
configuration of the facility?					
*Is the facility operated in accordance with the O		l for	Yes		
water quality or health impacts meets SNC criteria					
Is the facility maintaining a log that documents rou			N/A		
Is the plant free of any treatment components that	it are in disrepair that would provide for	•	Yes		
unsafe operation?			1		
Is the facility without an inflow and infiltration problem which would cause collection system		Yes			
and/or operational issues?	and the best of the second of		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
*Does the facility replace malfunctioning equipment, which can result in a high potential for		Yes			
water supply quality or health impacts?			N/A		
Dike berms appeared to be in satisfactory condition			<u> </u>		
Hand rails/catwalks/ladders were in good working	<u> </u>		Yes		
The liner(s) in the containment pond(s) appeared to be functioning as intended.		N/A			
The plant operator is fulfilling the minimum site requirements as required by the Permit.		Yes			
Preventative maintenance is being performed in the	he accordance with the manufacturer's		Yes		
recommendations.			B1/A		
The facility maintains an adequate spare parts invo	entory.		N/A		
Swales were being maintained.			N/A		
Is the facility free from any Operations and Mainte	enance violation not listed above that ne	eeds	Yes		
to be addressed?					

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "\*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- *Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility*

#### **Observations:**

The facility grounds are very well maintained with the proper signage and fencing. No odors were emanating offsite during the time of the inspection. There is adequate potable water protection. The facility has one generator located at the plant that is exercised weekly.

#### **Effluent Quality**

Compliance Rating Minor Out of Compliance	
Does this section apply to the facility?   • Yes	O No
Questions	
DMR review period:	January 01, 2024 – January 01, 2025 <b>N/A</b>
A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports revealed the following effluent exceedance(s).	1 exceedance for Total Residual Chlorine in May 2024 <b>No</b>
A review of the inspection sampling results revealed the following effluent exceedance(s).	N/A <b>N/A</b>
*Did the effluent limits exceed the Technical Review Criteria less than two times in six months?	N/A
*Are the effluent limits without exceedances four out of six months (chronic criteria)?	N/A
*Did the total residual chlorine levels meet disinfection limits? (If below required minimum 10% or more of the time in a rolling 6 month period, meets SNC criteria)	N/A
Was the facility free of a discharge of wastewater that resulted in a fish kill?	Yes
*Is persistent acute toxicity documented through follow-up tests?	N/A
*Is persistent chronic toxicity documented through follow-up tests?	N/A
*Is persistent acute or chronic toxicity documented in the effluent through the use of routine	N/A
and follow-up tests?	
Does the facility meet the permit or enforcement narrative effluent limitation(s)? (Non-DMR	Yes
visible sheen defined as iridescence present so as to cause taste or odor, or otherwise interfere	
with the beneficial use of the receiving water)	
Is the effluent free from excessive (suspended solids, foam, grease, scum) in the discharge stream?	Yes
*Was the facility free from any other violation with a high potential for water quality or health impacts?	Yes
Is the facility free from any Effluent Quality violation not listed above that needs to be	Yes
addressed?	
Department Sampling Results Comments: Sampling was not conducted by Department personne	
<ul> <li>Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed wh Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"</li> </ul>	hen Checklist Items
• Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility	
Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:	
A review of the Deficiency: (Narrative)	
Discharge Monitoring  The facility had one exceedance for Total Residual Chlorine in May 2024.	
Reports revealed the Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)	
following effluent Within 7 days of receiving this letter, provide a written response to the	above noted
exceedance(s). exceedance.	
The facility left an adequate comment on the DMR explaining a cracked p	pvc that caused flow
to be lost. The repairs have been made. No further action is required.  Observations:	

Department staff noted that chlorine was reading at 7.64 mg/L and pH was reading at 7.59 S.U.

#### Effluent Disposal

Compliance Rating	In Compliance		
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes O No	
	Questions	_	
Are discharge location(s) as per permit?	Yes		
What type of reuse is the facility approved for?		Part IV rapid rate land application system consisting a thirteen-cell RI	ng of
Has a cross connection control program been imp	lemented within the areas where reclain	med <b>N/A</b>	
water is provided for use (Part III, VII)?			
Is all reclaimed water piping and equipment color		N/A	
Hose bibbs met access restrictions and other requ		N/A	
Reclaimed water valves and outlets were appropr		N/A	
Are advisory signs posted in English and Spanish in Reuse water is being applied (Part III, VII)?	n areas where non-potable Public Access	s N/A	
Is the reclaimed water retained on the application	site?	N/A	
No significant ponding was observed on the reclai	imed water application site.	N/A	
There was no aerosol mist leaving the boundaries	of the land application?	Yes	
There was no evidence of solids loss in the treatm	ent process or from the plant?	Yes	
The RIBs and/or percolation ponds were free from	n excessive vegetation and sludge?	Yes	
The percolation ponds were free from accumulate	ed sludge.	N/A	
Does the percolation ponds have at least 3 ft of fr		N/A	
The absorption field was free from excessive vege		N/A	
Do the reclaimed water storage ponds have adequ		N/A	
Are RIBs well maintained and free from excessive	Yes		
There was no evidence of a bypass or failure at th		)? Yes	
Are all effluent disposal areas such as RIBs, ponds permit conditions?			
The disposal pond berms were free from excessiv	e growth or vegetation	N/A	
There was no evidence of a bypass or failure from			
inspection.	the storage points observed adming the	IV/A	
No unauthorized discharge to waters of the state	was observed during the inspection.	N/A	-
Are the sprinklers functioning as intended for the	·	N/A	
The facility is meeting the minimum setback dista		Yes	
Does the facility maintain a supply of spare parts			
The effluent disposal and/or storage area was free		Yes	
Are the sprayfields free from grazing dairy cattle?		N/A	
The sprayfield was free from ponding.		N/A	
The sprayfield was free from excessive vegetation	1	N/A	
Edible food crops were being properly irrigated w		N/A	
What cover crop and/or vegetation is planted on the reclaimed water area(s)?		N/A N/A	
*The disposal system was being operated as designeed health impacts.	gned with a low potential for water quali		
DEGILLI HUDGUS.			
*There was no unauthorized operation or modific	ration of the disposal system	Yes	

There were no dead animals observed in the discharge stream.	Yes			
Is the facility free from any Effluent Disposal violation not listed above that needs to be	Yes			
addressed?				
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items				

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items
  Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "\*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

R-001, consisting of a thirteen-cell RIB located at the Plant, appeared to be in excellent condition and was actively discharging to cell 12. No solids were present, and the Operator noted that the cells are rotated weekly and tilled monthly.

#### **Biosolids**

Compliance Rating	In Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	0	No
	Questions			·
Does the facility's method of biosolids use or dispo	osal match what is allowed in the facility	/	Yes	s
permit (i.e., landfill, land application, distribution a	and marketing, transfer to another facil	ity,		
biofuel/bioenergy)?				
Does the permittee keep records of biosolids quar	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Yes	5
generated, received from source facilities, treated		d, used		
as a biofuel or for bioenergy, transferred to anoth	-			
Are biosolids quantities reported on the facility RN			Yes	
Are the biosolids quantities reported on the RMP			Yes	
The facility's treatment, management, transportat			Yes	5
biosolids does not result in objectionable odors, i.e	e., does not result in a violation of the o	dor		
prohibition in subsection 62-296.320(2)				
If there is an objectionable odor, please describe t	he odors characteristics, frequency, dui	ration,	N/A	
and migration, etc.		1. 1	N/	
Is the storage of biosolids or other solids at this fac	cility in accordance with the Facility Bio:	solids	Yes	5
Storage Plan?	a spilled from ar tracked off the treatme		Va	
Does the treatment facility ensure no biosolids are facility property by the hauling vehicle?	e spilled from or tracked off the treatme	ent	Yes	5
	. (AA A and /a . B)		N/A	Α
The biosolids for this facility are classified as	: (AA, A, and/or B)		N/	Α
Does the class of biosolids produced for beneficia	l use match the authorized class in the	facility	N/	A
permit (Class AA, A, or B)?				
Does the facility use the biosolids pathogen reduce permit?	tion alternative option identified in the		N/	Α
Are all the operational and process parameters m	onitored to demonstrate compliance fo	r	N/	A
pathogen reduction?	•			
Do the biosolids meet the treatment requirement	ts for pathogen reduction option used?		N/	A
Does the facility use the biosolids vector attraction	on reduction option identified in the per	mit?	N/	A
Are all the operational and process parameters m	onitored to demonstrate compliance fo	r	N/	A
vector attraction reduction?				
Do the biosolids satisfactorily meet the treatment	requirements for vector attraction		N/	Α
reduction?				

If the Specific Oxygen Uptake Rate (SOUR) test is used for vector attraction reduction, is it	N/A
conducted within 15 minutes of sample collection by a certified laboratory or under the	14/7
direction of an operator certified in accordance with Chapter 62-602, F.A.C?	
Does treatment of biosolids or septage for the purpose of meeting pathogen reduction or	N/A
vector attraction reduction requirements take place at the permitted facility (e.g., not in the	,
tank of a hauling vehicle)?	
Are the biosolids monitored at the frequency required by the permit?	N/A
Are the biosolids monitoring results reported on the facility DMR (RMP-AA, RMP-A, or RMP-B)?	N/A
Are the biosolids monitored for all the required parameters for the class of biosolids?	N/A
Do the Class AA, A, or B biosolids comply with the ceiling pollutant limits?	N/A
Are the correct analysis methods used for biosolids?	N/A
Is a certified laboratory used for the analysis of the biosolids?	N/A
Are all biosolids samples representative and taken after final treatment of the biosolids but	N/A
before land application or distribution and marketing, unless otherwise approved?	N/A
Are all biosolids samples taken at the location specified in the facility permit?	N/A
Are the correct sample types properly taken for the type of biosolids (POTW Sludge Sampling	N/A
Manual)?	,
Are the Class AA biosolids monitored monthly?	N/A
Do the Class AA biosolids meet the Class AA parameter limits?	N/A
Are Class AA biosolids that are stored for more than 45 days re-sampled for fecal coliform or	N/A
Salmonella sp. at the frequency specified in the permit, if required?	,
For distribution and marketing, does the facility have a fertilizer license, sell or given-away to	N/A
someone with a fertilizer license, or is enrolled in the US Composting Council's Seal to Testing	
Assurance program (USCC STA program does not apply in the Lake Okeechobee, St. Lucie River,	
and Caloosahatchee River watersheds)?	
If the facility discovered that distributed and marketed biosolids did not meet Class AA	N/A
standards, did the facility notify, within 24 hours, the Department and all persons to whom they	
delivered or distributed and marketed the Class AA biosolids?	
Does the facility make available to users by product labels or other means the following	N/A
information - fertilizer label or equivalent information; name and address of the facility;	
statement that the biosolids meet subsection 62-640.700(5), F.A.C.; recommend application	
rates; and, recommendations for storage (including the more than one dry ton/seven-day	
provision)?	
Are all the sites where biosolids are land applied listed on the Treatment Facility Biosolids Plan	N/A
form (DEP Form 62-640.219(2)(a))?	
If a permitted site not listed in the Treatment Facility Biosolids Plan was used, did the permittee	N/A
notify DEP at least 24 hours prior to land application at the site and submit a revised form	
within 30 days after using the site?	
Did the facility only used permitted sites - i.e., no unpermitted sites were used for land	N/A
application (i.e., the site did not have a valid DEP permit)?	21/2
Does the permittee maintain hauling records for shipments to land application sites and do they	N/A
contain the required information?	NI/A
Does the permittee provide a copy of the hauling records to the biosolids site manager, were	N/A
records free of any discrepancies regarding the quantities delivered, and any discrepancies	
were reported to DEP within 24 hours of discovery?	NI/A
Did all biosolids sent to sites meet pathogen reduction, vector attraction reduction, and pollutant limits?	N/A
If biosolids not meeting standards were sent to a site, did the permittee notify DEP, the site	N/A
manager, the site permittee within 24 hours of discovery?	IN/ A
manager, the site permittee within 24 hours of discovery?	

Does the permittee maintain copies for each site used of the Biosolids Application Site Annual	N/A
Summary forms received from the site permittees indefinitely?	
Has the permittee submitted Treatment Facility Biosolids Annual Summary reports to DEP by	Yes
February 19 each year?	
Was the information in the Treatment Facility Biosolids Annual Summary accurate?	Yes
Is any incineration or use of biosolids as a biofuel or for bioenergy in accordance with DEP's air	N/A
regulations and RCRA?	
Does the permittee keep the required hauling records to track transport of biosolids between	Yes
facilities?	
If the facility receives biosolids from a source facility, did the permittee report any discrepancies	N/A
in the quantities of biosolids to DEP within 24 hours of discovery?	
If the facility is a source facility and sends biosolids to another facility, does the permittee	N/A
provide a copy of their hauling records for each shipment to the receiving facility?	
If the facility receives biosolids from a source facility, does the receiving facility permit allow	N/A
receipt of biosolids from other facilities?	
Does the facility have copies of the required written agreement(s) between the receiving and	N/A
source facility?	
Did the permittee (source or receiving facility) submit all new written agreements to DEP within	N/A
30 days before transporting biosolids (unless approval given otherwise)?	
Is operator staffing requirements met?	N/A
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory?	N/A
Are grit and screenings, etc., from the headworks properly disposed of in a landfill?	Yes
Is the facility free from any Biosolids violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "\*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

The biosolids hauling record viewed onsite indicated that 60.5 tons were hauled on January 21, 2025, to the Hernando County Class 1 Landfill for disposal.

#### Groundwater

Compliance Rating	Minor Out of Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?	<b>⊙</b> Yes	O No			
	Questions				
DMRs review period		January 01, 2024 – January 01, 2025 <b>Yes</b>			
Are the groundwater monitoring results sent to the	ne Department on Discharge Monitoring	Yes			
Report, Form 62-620.910(10), F.A.C. and submitte	d by the DMR due date?				
After a review of the Discharge Monitoring Report meeting the groundwater standards in the time pe	No				
A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports reve	ealed the following effluent exceedance(s).	N/A N/A			

Do the facilities purging logs on DEP Form FD 9000-24 indicate that purging was done properly;	Yes
including sufficient volume, purge rate, depth to water, and stability criteria (pH, Temperature,	
Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity)?	
Is the groundwater monitoring report complete and accurate, including analysis method,	Yes
laboratory method detection limits, static water level, purging logs, sample collection	
procedures and treatment?	
Do the groundwater monitoring wells meet DEP requirements including; tamper-proof locks,	Yes
unique well label(s), concrete well pad with protective bumpers not containing numerous	
cracks, and is free of clutter for sampling purposes?	
If or when new well construction was completed did the facility plug and properly abandoned	N/A
the existing well and submit Monitoring well completion Report, Form 62-520.900(3) to DEP	
within 60 days?	
If a monitoring well became damaged or inoperable was maintenance conducted and	N/A
notification sent to DEP within 2 days of discovery?	
Is the well(s) that the facility is sampling at part of the approved groundwater monitoring plan?	Yes
Are the monitoring wells operable to the extent that sampling is possible?	Yes
Are groundwater samples being collected and analyzed as required by the permit or	Yes
enforcement action; including location, well type, sample type (grab/composite), time, and	
frequency?	
If sampling was observed were the sample collection activities being performed in accordance	N/A
with DEP SOP FS 2200?	
If sampling was observed was equipment in satisfactory condition?	N/A
If sampling was not observed is the description of sample collection activities being performed	Yes
in accordance with DEP SOP FS 2200?	
Is the facility free from any Groundwater violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "\*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- *Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility*

#### **Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:**

After a review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports, are the compliance well parameters meeting the groundwater standards in the time period reviewed (12 months or greater)?[62-520.420 F.A.C.]

Deficiency: (Narrative)

The facility has had exceedances for Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) at MWC-11, MWC-12, MWC-13, MWC-14, and MWC-06 for the months of March 2024, June 2024, September 2024, and December 2024.

Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)

Within 7 days of receiving this letter, provide a written response to the Department. The facility submitted a written response on January 28, 2025, that stated, in part, "Since 2019, TDS exceedances in groundwater monitoring wells have been an ongoing issue. When this began, we started sampling the collection system and found one of our industrial customers with TDS levels sometimes as high as 16,000 mg/L. We have been working with them for approximately three years to reduce the TDS to a more acceptable level." Additionally, the facility has been monitoring these levels through their effluent and is currently working on changing their limits through the current permit renewal process. No further actions are currently required.

#### **Observations:**

MWC-11, MWC-12, MWC-13, and MWC-14 were observed to be in great condition containing a lock and label with a good well pad.

#### SSO Survey

Does the facility have an Operation and Maintenance Manual for their collection system?  Does the facility have an Operation and Maintenance Manual for their collection system?  N/A  How often is the Operation and Maintenance Manual updated?  N/A  Does the O&M manual reflect the current collection system configuration?  N/A  Are procedures available for minimizing spills in either the Operation and Maintenance Manual or in a separate document?  Does the facility have a asp of its collection system?  Does the facility have a asp of its collection system?  Does the facility have a ang of its collection system?  Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, including during power failures?  Does the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples as outlined in their SORP?  Yes  Does the facility neight analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N	<b>Compliance Rating</b>		In Compliance					
Does the facility have an Operation and Maintenance Manual por their collection system?  N/A  How often is the Operation and Maintenance Manual updated?  N/A  Does the O&M manual reflect the current collection system configuration?  N/A  Are procedures available for minimizing spills in either the Operation and Maintenance Manual or in a separate document?  Does the facility have a Sewer Overflow Response Plan/procedures for minimizing spills?  Did the facility have a map of its collection system?  N/A  Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, including during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Did the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Yes  Does the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Yes  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "fecility had SSOS within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative	Does this section apply	to the facility?		•	Yes	0	No	
How often is the Operation and Maintenance Manual updated?  N/A N/A Does the O&M manual reflect the current collection system configuration?  N/A Are procedures available for minimizing spills in either the Operation and Maintenance Manual or in a separate document?  Does the facility have a Sewer Overflow Response Plan/procedures for minimizing spills?  Yes Did the facility have a map of its collection system?  N/A Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, including during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission system in good working order?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or ake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission systems or treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Yes  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Irems Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "e"  Puscisions with "NA" "responses indicate deficiencies			Questions					
Does the O&M manual reflect the current collection system configuration?  N/A  N/A  Does the O&M manual reflect the current collection system configuration?  N/A  Are procedures available for minimizing spills in either the Operation and Maintenance Manual  or in a separate document?  Does the facility have a Sewer Overflow Response Plan/procedures for minimizing spills?  Yes  Does the facility have a map of its collection system?  N/A  Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, including during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission  Yes  System in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A  N/A  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility follow up on spills?  Does the facility free from any SSO violation not restment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not Pisted above that needs to be addressed?  Yes  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "**  Pacistom with "No" "responses indicate deficiencies  Designificant Non-Compliance Criteria per play to the facility has on abn	Does the facility have an	Operation and Maintena	ance Manual for their collection s	system?		N	/A	
Does the O&M manual reflect the current collection system configuration?  Are procedures available for minimizing spills in either the Operation and Maintenance Manual or in a separate document?  Does the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples as outlined in their SORP?  Does the facility have a map of its collection system?  Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, including during power failures?  Does the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  No in the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake?  Does the facility felow up on spills?  Does the facility flow up on spills?  Does the facility flow up on spills?  Does the facility flow up on spills?  Does the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Yes  Does the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Yes  Descions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  Deficiencies Activities on the collection/transmission system or drainage ditch?  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  Deficiencies & C	How often is the Operati	ion and Maintenance Ma	nual updated?				-	
Are procedures available for minimizing spills in either the Operation and Maintenance Manual or in a separate document?  Or in a separate document?  Does the facility have a Sewer Overflow Response Plan/procedures for minimizing spills?  Ves  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples as outlined in their SORP?  Ves  Does the facility have a map of its collection system?  Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, Including during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission yesystem in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOS?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or drainage ditch, stream, pond, or ake?  Yes  Does the facility follow up on spills?  Yes  Does the facility follow up on spills?  Yes  Does the facility ment on a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Step facility free from any SSO violation not listed above th	Does the O&M manual r	eflect the current collect	ion system configuration?					
or in a separate document?  Does the facility have a Sewer Overflow Response Plan/procedures for minimizing spills?  Yes  Does the facility have a map of its collection system?  N/A  Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, including during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples as outlined in their SORP?  Yes  Including during power failures?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission of the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission of the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission of the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission of the system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility leper the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(spills)  Yes  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "e"  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist It			<u> </u>	nance M	lanual			
Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples as outlined in their SORP?  Ves Does the facility have a map of its collection system?  N/A Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, Including during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission yes system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or ake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes Does the facility follow up on spills?  Yes Does the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a """  Outstions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Outstions with "No" responses do not apply to the facility Deficiencies & Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) The facility had SSOs within the pas	•	• •	·				•	
Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, necluding during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission yestem in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A N/A  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or ake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies & Corrective Action (s): (Narrative)  The facility has Soo swithin the past year.  Occustions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Deficiencies & Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Occrective Action(s): (Narrative)  When the facility has on abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits repor within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidan	Does the facility have a S	Sewer Overflow Response	Plan/procedures for minimizing	g spills?		Υ	es	
Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, ncluding during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission yes system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A  NA  No  Differenciate waters, i.e., stornwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or ake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility feep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any conditions and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and reporting records of spills,	Did the facility collect an	id/or analyze bacteriolog	ical samples as outlined in their S	SORP?		Υ	es	
Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff, ncluding during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission yes system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or ake?  Does the facility fellow up on spills?  Yes  Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Yes  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "s"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "No" responses do not apply to the facility Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "s"  Questions with "No" responses do not apply to the facility Deficiencies are Noted with a diffility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  The facility had SS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	•			N	/A	
including during power failures?  Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or ake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility follow up on spills?  Yes  Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a ""  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmission in system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  The facility had SSOS within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  The facility had SSOS within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  The facility had SSOS within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  The facility had SSOS within the past year to be reviewed by the Department within 24 hours of each spill and a fi				staff,				
Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters?  Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission by system in good working order?  Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stornwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Duestions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Duestions with "No" responses do not apply to the facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  Men the facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department withi			,	,				
Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A N/A  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility fellow up on spills?  Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Yes  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "No" responses do not apply to the facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits repor within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  When the facility has on abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits repor within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  Reficiency: (Narrative)  When the facility has an abnormal	Did the facility collect an		ical samples for sewage spills tha	nt reach	ed	Y	es	
Poes the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for responding to SSOs?  How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A N/A  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility follow up on spills?  Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and amintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions vith "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions vith "No" responses indicate deficiencies  When the facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Actions(s): (Narrative)  The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Actions(s): (Narrative)  When the facility had son abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by thi		•	aintenance to keep the collection	n/transn	nissior	1 <b>Y</b>	es	
How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?  N/A N/A N/A In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake? Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes Does the facility follow up on spills? Yes Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)? In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "No" responses do not apply to the facility Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmission n system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., when the facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Actions:  Corrective Actions:  Nenth the facility had an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.	Does the facility maintai		f equipment, tools and materials	s for		Y	es	
In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake?  Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility follow up on spills?  Yes  Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "No" responses do not apply to the facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmission  ray part of a collection/transmission  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits repor within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.						N	/A	
No	How many lift stations h	ave permanent emergen	cy back-up power generators?			N	/A	
Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?  Yes  Does the facility follow up on spills?  Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmissio nystem or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  Solvential program of the collection program of the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.	of a collection/transmiss make it to surface water	sion system or treatment	plant that discharged to the grou	und or c	lid not		U	
Does the facility follow up on spills?  Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmission  any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  Solve in the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any construction and treatment plant that reached surface have a treatment plant that plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  The facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any construction at reatment plant that plant that did not make it to surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Yes  Yes  Yes  Oresida the facility in a surface facility submit facility be addressed?  Deficiency: (Narrative) The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) The facility had son abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits repor within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action		he spill(s) to the Departm	nent within 24 hours of discovery	<b>/</b> ?		Υ	es	
Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?  In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Is significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmissio in system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  The facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.				·		Υ	es	
components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmissio n system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  Solution surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Outsions with "No" responses do not apply to the facility  When the facility be facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.	· · ·			or oper	ation	Y	es	
Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmissio n system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"  Ves  Yes  Yes  Yes  Ves  Outsions With "No" responses indicate deficiencies  Ouestions with "No" responses do not apply to the facility  Tresponses do not apply to the facility  Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:  The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.	components of a collecti	ion/transmission system	or from a treatment plant that re		ıy	Υ	es	
<ul> <li>Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items         Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"</li> <li>Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies</li> <li>Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility</li> <li>Deficiencies &amp; Corrective Actions:         A sewage spill from             any part of a         collection/transmissio         n system or treatment         plant that did not         make it to surface             waters, i.e.,         stormwater collection</li> <li>Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items         Deficiencies</li> <li>Waters</li> <li>Questions with "N/A" responses indicate deficiencies</li> <li>Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility</li> <li>The facility</li> <li>Corrective Actions:         Ourrective Action(s): (Narrative)         When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits reporming that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.</li> </ul>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		sed?		Υ	es	
A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmissio n system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  Deficiency: (Narrative) The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.	<ul> <li>Significant Non-Composition</li> <li>Deficiencies are Noted</li> <li>Questions with "No" r</li> <li>Questions with "N/A"</li> </ul>	liance Criteria per Program l and Marked by a "*" esponses indicate deficienc responses do not apply to tl	n Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Shou ies		viewea			cklist Items
any part of a collection/transmissio n system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  The facility had SSOs within the past year.  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.		ı						
collection/transmissio n system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)  When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.	• .	, , , , , ,	thin the past year					
n system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection  When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP. The facility submits report within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.		•	· · ·					
plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection within 24 hours of each spill and a final 5-day report that includes proper cleanup and corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.	•	, , ,	•	air SOB	D Tha	facil	itu cu	ihmits rong
make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection corrective actions. Reports received by this facility are to be reviewed by the Department using an SSO Evaluation Guidance and addressed by this process. No further action is required.	•							
waters, i.e., stormwater collection waters, i.e., stormwater collection waters, i.e., stormwater collection		· ·				•		•
stormwater collection required.								
		_	i Guidance and addressed by this	process	s. 110 J	urtill	er uct	.1011 15
CUCION DISTRIBUTO DITON I	system, drainage ditch,	required.						

stream, pond, or lake. [62-604.130(1) F.A.C., SSO6]

#### **Observations:**

It was noted onsite that the facility has roughly 189 lift stations within the collection system with 40 of them being privately owned and not maintained by the County with three inspected.

The following lift stations were evaluated for the SSOP inspection:

Lift Station #LS 43 is located at 1480 Diane St - The lift station was fenced and locked with emergency contact information posted. No odors were emanating offsite during the inspection. The lift station is inspected twice a week, and maintenance keeps a Logbook digitally. No fats, oils, or grease were noted. There is an RPZ onsite that was last calibrated on January 13, 2025. The facility relies on SCADA when the high-level alarm is triggered. The lift station does not have a generator onsite but has the potential to be hooked up to one. There is no dumpster onsite, instead maintenance personnel would take trash with them to be disposed of properly at a dumpster. The lift station also contains an odor control system.

Lift Station #LS 42 is located at 14422 Countryhine Rd - The lift station was fenced and locked with emergency contact information posted. No odors were emanating offsite during the inspection. The lift station is inspected twice a week, and maintenance keeps a Logbook digitally. No fats, oils, or grease were noted. There is an RPZ onsite that was last calibrated on January 13, 2025. The facility relies on SCADA when the high-level alarm is triggered. The lift station does have a generator onsite that is exercised weekly, and a fuel check is conducted twice a week. There is no dumpster onsite, instead maintenance personnel would take trash with them to be disposed of properly at a dumpster. The lift station also contains an odor control system.

Lift Station #LS 44 is located at 11845 Lavender Loop - The lift station was fenced and locked with emergency contact information posted. No odors were emanating offsite during the inspection. The lift station is inspected twice a week, and maintenance keeps a Logbook digitally. Minor fats, oils, or grease were noted. There is an RPZ onsite that was last calibrated on January 13, 2025. The facility relies on SCADA when the high-level alarm is triggered. The lift station does have a generator onsite that is exercised weekly, and a fuel check is conducted twice a week. There is no dumpster onsite, instead maintenance personnel would take trash with them to be disposed of properly at a dumpster. The lift station also contains an odor control system.

#### Other

Compliance Rating	Not Applicable				
Does this section apply to the facility?	C	Yes	•	No	
	Questions				
*Is the facility free from any violation not listed above, or pattern of noncompliance, resulting in a high potential for water quality or health impacts (Any violations considered significant by the Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Director of District Management, or the Division Director meet SNC criteria)					
Please describe any potential Non-wastewater viol	ations (i.e. hazardous waste, stormwa	iter,	N/	Α	
SLERP, Air and Storage Tanks) that were referred.					
<ul> <li>Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Of Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"</li> <li>Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencie</li> </ul>		eviewed	when	Check	list Items

Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

# Observations: N/A

#### **Deficiencies Summary**

# A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports revealed the following effluent exceedance(s). Deficiency: (Narrative) The facility had one exceedance for Total Residual Chlorine in May 2024. Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) Within 7 days of receiving this letter, provide a written response to the above noted exceedance. The facility left an adequate comment on the DMR explaining a cracked pvc that caused flow to be lost. The repairs have been made. No further action is required.

#### **Evaluation Area: Groundwater**

After a review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports, are the compliance well parameters meeting the groundwater standards in the time period reviewed (12 months or greater)? [62-520.420 F.A.C.]

Deficiency: (Narrative)

The facility has had exceedances for Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) at MWC-11, MWC-12, MWC-13, MWC-14, and MWC-06 for the months of March 2024, June 2024, September 2024, and December 2024.

Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)

Within 7 days of receiving this letter, provide a written response to the Department. The facility submitted a written response on January 28, 2025, that stated, in part, "Since 2019, TDS exceedances in groundwater monitoring wells have been an ongoing issue. When this began, we started sampling the collection system and found one of our industrial customers with TDS levels sometimes as high as 16,000 mg/L. We have been working with them for approximately three years to reduce the TDS to a more acceptable level." Additionally, the facility has been monitoring these levels through their effluent and is currently working on changing their limits through the current permit renewal process. No further actions are currently required.

#### **Evaluation Area: SSO Survey** A sewage spill from Deficiency: (Narrative) any part of a The facility had SSOs within the past year. collection/transmissio Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) n system or treatment When the facility has an abnormal event, they rely on their SORP which includes notifying the plant that did not Department timely and submitting a 5-day report. No further action is required. make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake. [62-604.130(1) F.A.C., SSO6]