H DO COUNTY

DATE: May 21, 2025

PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT

15470 FLIGHT PATH DRIVE • BROOKSVILLE, FLORIDA 34604
P 352.754.4020 • F 352.754.4199 • W www.Hernando.County.us

TO:		Carla Rossiter - Smith				
FROM:		Scott E. Nelson				
SUBJECT:		Recommendation for Award Bid No 25-CG00926 Project Name: South Linden Sidewalk (LAP) (FPN 438651-1)				
		d(s) received from Augustine Construction, Inc. for the above referenced project/solicitation is commendation for award.				
Items 1	through	5 below have been completed.				
Se	e attach	ed for technical evaluation and reference checks. Total Contract Bid Price for this award is: \$1,202,741.20				
1.	Referen	ce checks are satisfactory:				
	If no, provide an explanation using the space provided below and/or attached to this form.					
2.	Recomr	nend award as responsive and responsible bidder $oxtimes$ YES $oxtimes$ NO				
	If no, provide a detailed explanation using the space provided below and/or attached to this form.					
3.	Reques	t Next Bidder? ☐ YES ☒ NO				
	4. Provide a statement that addresses the reason(s) for your recommendation or rejection. Include your basis for determining that pricing is fair and reasonable and that the Bidder has the ability and resources to perform in accordance with the bid terms, conditions and scope. Bid is 1.09% higher than Engineer's Estimate which is reasonable. Bidder has performed and					
complete		with Hernando County several times completing under budget and within time allotted.				
•		ne equipment and manpower to complete this project.				
5.	Provide	the funding information: Fund <u>1013</u> Dept <u>34607</u> Account <u>5616371</u>				
Recommendation Approved By: Department Director/Manager						
Enclosure(s)						

Refer	ence For (Firm/Company):	Augustine C	Construction, Inc.					
Comp Form)		ference: (Com	pany & First and Last Name of Person Completing this					
TOIM	Company Name: CITY	OF SAFETY	HARBOR, FLORIDA					
	First Name, Last Name: MICHELLE GIULIANI, CITY ENGINEER							
	PHONE #: 727.724.1555 E	XT 1706	Email: MGIULIANI@CITYOFSAFETYHARBOR.COM					
1.	Describe the work contrac	ted to firm/co	mpany.					
	See attac	hed Descri	ption					
2.	Was the work completed on	time?						
	•	Yes						
3	Were you satisfied with the	final results?						
٥,	word you satisfied with the	Yes						
4.	Did you implement their rec							
		Y	es					
5.	5. Did you encounter any problems?							
More precaution needed by workers to locate and soft dig around existing utilities. Additional MOT signs and flaggers should be used.								
6.	le of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:							
	Professionalism	5						
	Qualifications	4						
	Final Product	5						
		5						
	Cooperation	5						
	Reliability	5						
7.	Would you contract with this	s company aga	in?					
	Yes Yes	No	Maybe					
He	rnando County Use Only	11						
	ference checked by:	ast E	Nelson Date: 6-5-25					

Reference For (Firm/Company): Augustine Construction, Inc.

Company & Person Completing Reference: (Company & First and Last Name of Person Completing this Form):

Company Name: ___CITY OF SAFETY HARBOR. FLORIDA

First Name, Last Name: MICHELLE GIULIANI, CITY ENGINEER

PHONE #: 727.724.1555 EXT 1706 Email: MGIULIANIa CITYOFSAFETYHARBOR.COM

1. Describe the work contracted to firm/company.

North Bay Hills Phase III Water Main Replacement Project.

Work Scope included:

- Installation of 50 LF of 2-inch Certa-Lok Yelomine PVC water main by open cut;
- Installation of 260 LF of 4-inch, and 760 LF of 6-inch, and 520 LF of 8-inch C-900 PVC DR-18 Water Main by open cut;
- Installation of 4,800 LF of 6-inch, and 1,160 LF of 8-inch Certa-Lok C-900 PVC Water Main by horizontal directional drill (HDD);
- Installation of locate stations;
- Abandonment and grout filling of existing water mains;
- Connecting existing water main(s) and service piping to the new water main(s) and service laterals;
- Installation of Fire Hydrant Assemblies;
- Pressure Testing and Disinfection of the water mains;
- Restoration of private property and City Right-of-Ways, included landscaping, irrigation, curb, driveways, sidewalks and site restoration, and other miscellaneous restoration;
- Installation of road base, asphalt pavement, concrete sidewalk, ADA ramps, and curbing;
- Maintenance of Traffic.

+

+AND+

4

Philippe Pointe Pedestrian Bridge Water Main Project.

Work Scope included:

- Installation of 100 LF of 8-inch C-900 PVC DR-18 Water Main by open cut;
- Installation of 1,100 LF 8-inch C-900 PVC DR-18 Water Main by subaqueous horizontal directional drill;
- Installation of locate stations;
- Installation service line:
- Pressure Testing and Disinfection of the water mains;
- Abandonment and grouting of existing water main;
- Restoration of curb, driveways, sidewalks and site restoration;
- Installation of road base, asphalt pavement, and concrete sidewalk and curbing;
- Maintenance of Traffic

Reference For (Firm/Company): Augustine Construction Inc.								
Company & Person Completing Reference: (Company & First and Last Name of Person Completing this Form):								
Company Name:STROUD ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.								
First Name, Last Name: GARY PETERSON, ENGINEERING CONSULTANT FOR CITY OF NIPORT RICHEY	ΕW							
PHONE #: 813.503.7828 Email: GARY@STROUDENGINEERING.COM								
1. Describe the work contracted to firm/company.								
On behalf of the City of New port Richey, worked with Augustine Construction, Inc. on multiple Utility project	On behalf of the City of New port Richey, worked with Augustine Construction, Inc. on multiple Utility projects. This includes Central Sewer Improvements, Central Water Improvements, Road Paving Improvements and							
2. Was the work completed on time? Yes	•							
Were you satisfied with the final results? Very Satisfied, Augustine Construction does excellent work								
Did you implement their recommendations? Yes, they are very helpful in solving problems during construction	Did you implement their recommendations? Yes, they are very helpful in solving problems during construction.							
Did you encounter any problems? Yes, see number 4.								
6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:								
Professionalism 5								
Qualifications 5								
Final Product 5								
Cooperation5								
Reliability 5								
7. Would you contract with this company again?								
YesX								
Hernando County Use Only 6/6/25								
Reference checked by: Gary Peterson Date: 5/14/2025								

S Linden Dr Sidewalk from County Line Rd to Spring Hill Dr LAP 438651-1-58-01 (Rebid) ITB NO. 25-CG00926/JG

Refere	ence For (Firm/Company): A	agustine Constructio	on, Inc.						
Compa Form):		nce: (Company & Fi	irst and Last Name of Person Completing this						
	Company Name: CITY OF	TARPON SPRING	S. FLORIDA						
	First Name, Last Name: NICK MAKRIS, PROJECT MANAGER								
	PHONE #:727.938.3711	Email: NMAK	KRIS@CTSFL.US						
1.	1. Describe the work contracted to firm/company.								
			Augustine as prime City contractor: e.g. / expansion; roadway drainage.						
2.	Was the work completed on time? Yes								
3.	Were you satisfied with the final results? Yes								
4.	Did you implement their recommendations? N/A								
5.	5. Did you encounter any problems? No atypical construction issues encountered								
6.	6. How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:								
	Professionalism	5							
	Qualifications	5							
	Final Product	5							
	Cooperation	5							
	Cooperation	5							
	Reliability								
7.	7. Would you contract with this company again?								
	Yes X	No	Maybe						
Hernando County Use Only Reference checked by: Date: 6/6/25									

S Linden Dr Sidewalk from County Line Rd to Spring Hill Dr LAP 438651-1-58-01 (Rebid) ITB NO. 25-CG00926/JG

TECHNICAL EVALUATION FOR BID AWARD

ITB NO. # 25-CG00926/JG

Project Name: S Linden Dr Sidewalk from County Line Rd to Spring Hill Dr LAP 438651-1-58-01 (Rebid)

This document has been developed to facilitate your evaluation. Your evaluation should be limited to the attached. Purchasing will ensure that all documents required by the solicitation are contained for evaluation. This documentation will be included with the bid submitted for evaluation. Bids that are determined non-responsive by the Purchasing Division will not be submitted to you for evaluation. Please note that you should focus your attention on the areas contained within this document. Your evaluation will be a major consideration as to the responsiveness and/or responsibility of a bidder.

A. Is the amount of the bid reasonable and realistic for the services to be performed or the item or equipment to be purchased? <u>Yes</u>

If the bid is considered reasonable/realistic, provide justification for your conclusion. <u>The bid items are reasonably priced to equal average costs</u> associated with each item.

If you consider the bid to be unreasonable and/or unrealistic, please explain in detail.

B. Was an independent County estimate developed prior to soliciting for the procurement? <u>Yes, an independent County estimate was prepared (see attached).</u>

If affirmative, submit this estimate with your evaluation in the same format as the bid schedule and describe the extent the estimate was used in the analysis of the bid.

C. Do the resources (manpower, equipment, supplies, etc.) proposed by the bidder meet the minimum requirements, if any, established by the solicitation? <u>The Contractor has the minimum equipment and workers to complete the required work.</u>

If minimums were not identified in the solicitation, you may request information on proposed resources from the bidder **through Purchasing**.

When specific types and quantities of equipment are required to meet minimum standards, the bidder may address this requirement by providing purchasing with a pro-forma invoice with confirmation from a bank or lending institution to the effect that they are prepared to finance the lease or purchase of equipment necessary to perform the services if the bidder is awarded the contract.

D. Does the bidder have a satisfactory record of performance? <u>Yes, the contractor has completed similar Hernando County Project(s) and according to the reference checks.</u>

At a minimum, the bidder's record on previous county contracts must be considered and an attempt must be made to contact all references. The reference form attached is to be used for your documentation of your reference check. If references cannot be contacted, the Department shall contact Purchasing for additional references. Purchasing shall request from the bidder in writing of this fact and inform that the reference must contact the project person within two business days or it will negatively impact the evaluation the bid.

E. Provide your overall recommendation on the Recommendation for Award Form. *See our attached recommendation*.

Note: At no time will the user/project person/bid evaluator discuss responsiveness, responsibility, or withdrawal from the bidding process with any bidder. Moreover, it is strictly prohibited for any County representative involved in the bidding process to attempt to negotiate bids, influence or otherwise impact the business decisions of a bidder.