

STORMWATER

DATE: December 19, 2023

Alisa Pike, Procurement Coordinator TO:

Laura A. Borgesi, PE, PSM, MPA, Traffic Engineer FROM:

Recommendation for Award Bid No. 23-RFQ00422/AP SUBJECT:

Project Name: Continuing Engineering Services

The attached bid received from Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. for the above referenced project/solicitation is submitted for your review, evaluation, and award recommendation. In accordance with the Hernando County Ordinance No. 93.16, Section 2-105 (6) and Purchasing and Contracts Department Policies and Procedures Manual, Procedure No. 130F, Paragraph 3. (D), Policy140l, Paragraph 2(H), please complete items 2 through 6 and return this award recommendation form with your technical evaluation attached, approved by your department director/manager.

1. Total Contract Bid Price is: \$Task Order Based

YES NO 2. Reference checks are satisfactory: If no, provide an explanation using the space provided below and/or attached to this form.

- 3. Recommend award as responsive and responsible bidder ☐ YES ☐ NO If no, provide a detailed explanation using the space provided below and/or attached to this form.
- 4. Request Next Bidder? YES NO (Using five additional vendors)
- 5. Provide a statement that addresses the reason(s) for your recommendation or rejection. Include your basis for determining that pricing is fair and reasonable and that the Bidder has the ability and resources to perform in accordance with the bid terms, conditions and scope.

Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. provides all the design engineering services that will be utilized on projects within the Department of Public Works. The references received for Stantec Consulting Services, Inc, were all excellent and indicate that the company is reliable and responsive.

Provide the funding information: Fund <u>1015</u> Dept <u>03221</u> Account \_

Date: 12/19/1013

Recommendation Approved By

Department Director/Manager

Enclosure

# **REFERENCE CHECK**

BID#	: 23-RFQ00422/AP	BID TITLE: Continuing	g Profession	nal Engineering Services			
RESP	ONDENT: Stantec Cons	sulting					
REFE	RENCE (Company or Person	): <u>City of Tarpon Sprin</u>	ngs				
PHON	NE #: <u>727-942-5638</u>	PERSON YOU SPOKE	TO: Bol	b Robertson, P.E.			
1.	Describe the work contracted by your firm/company.						
	Miscellaneous Engineering drainage/stormwater, bridge construction management, F Contract Cost: Varies	structural services, planni	ng, enviroi				
2. Was the work completed on time?							
	Yes						
3. Were you satisfied with the final results?							
	Yes						
4.	Did you implement their rec						
	Yes, where applicable						
5.	Did you encounter any problems?						
	No						
6.	How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:						
	Professionalism	5					
	Qualifications	5					
	Final Product	5					
	Cooperation	5					
	Reliability	5					
7.	Would you contract with this company again?						
	Yes <u>Yes</u>	No	Mayl	pe			
R	eference checked by: Ting	R Duenninger	Date	11/16/2023			

# **REFERENCE CHECK**

BID#	: 23-RF	Q00422	2/AP	BID TITLE: Continuir	g Pro	fessional Enginee	ering Services
RESP	ONDEN	NT:	Stantec Cor	nsulting			
REFE	RENCE	E (Comp	pany or Perso	on): Manatee County G	overn	ment	
PHON	NE#: _	941-70	08-7476	PERSON YOU SPOKE	E TO:	Chad Butzow	
1.	Descri	be the v	work contrac	ted by your firm/company.			
	and re	creation ces and	n, utility, stor	g Services – Tasks included mwater and drainage, and i construction phase support,	rrigat	ion design, waste	water treatment, water
2.	Was the work completed on time?						
	Doug Stoker is great, everything was completed on time.						
3. Were you satisfied with the final results?							
	Yes, v	ery sati	sfied.				
4.	Did yo	Did you implement their recommendations?					
	No recommendations.						
5.	Did you encounter any problems?						
	No. They created a great team and have completed several road jobs and traffic signals.						
6.	How would you rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:						
		Profes	ssionalism	5			
		Qualif	fications	5			
		Final I	Product	5			
		Coope	eration	5			
		Reliab	oility	5			
7.	Would	l you co	ontract with t	his company again?			
		Yes	X	No		Maybe	
Re	eference	checke	ed by:	Laura A. Borgesi			Date: 12/12/23

## REFERENCE CHECK

BID #: 2	3-RFQ00422/AP	BID TITLE: Continuing Professional Engineering Services
RESPON	NDENT: Stantec Const	ulting
REFERE	ENCE (Company or Person)	): Pinellas County
PHONE	#: 727-464-8731	PERSON YOU SPOKE TO: Rob Meador
1. D	escribe the work contracted	d by your firm/company.
D	Design of the Ft De Soto Bay Design Cost approximately Stonstruction Cost approximately	\$900K
2. <b>V</b>	Vas the work completed on	time?
Y	es	
3. V	Vere you satisfied with the f	final results?
Y	es	
4. D	oid you implement their rec	ommendations?
Y	es	
5. D	oid you encounter any probl	lems?
N	ot with consultant or their	recommendations
6. H	low would you rate the com	npany on a scale of 1 to 5 (low to high) on the following:
	Professionalism	5
	Qualifications	5
	Final Product	5
	Cooperation	5
	Reliability	5
7. V	Vould you contract with this	s company again?
	Yes <u>X</u>	No Maybe
Refe	rence checked by:Tina F	R. Duenninger Date: <u>11/14/2023</u>

### TECHNICAL EVALUATION FOR BID AWARD

RFQ# 23-RFQ00422/AP Continuing Professional Engineering Services

### **VENDOR: STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.**

This document has been developed to facilitate your evaluation. Your evaluation should be limited to the attached. Procurement will ensure that all documents required by the solicitation are contained for evaluation. This documentation will be included with the bid submitted for evaluation. Bids that are determined non-responsive by the Procurement Department will not be submitted to you for evaluation. Please note that you should focus your attention on the areas contained within this document. Your evaluation will be a major consideration as to the responsiveness and/or responsibility of a bidder.

A. Is the amount of the bid reasonable and realistic for the services to be performed or the item or equipment to be purchased?

### NO BID REQUIRED, BASED ON SKILL AND REFERENCES

If the bid is considered reasonable/realistic, provide justification for your conclusion.

If you consider the bid to be unreasonable and/or unrealistic, please explain in detail.

B. Was an independent County estimate developed prior to soliciting for the procurement?

#### NO

If affirmative, submit this estimate with your evaluation in the same format as the bid schedule and describe the extent the estimate was used in the analysis of the bid.

C. Do the resources (manpower, equipment, supplies, etc.) proposed by the bidder meet the minimum requirements, if any, established by the solicitation?

#### YES

If minimums were not identified in the solicitation, you may request information on proposed resources from the bidder **through Procurement.**