### **Evaluation Worksheet Tool**

# RSQ 21-SQ-119BB - Medicare Cost Reporting and Medicaid Reimbursement Consulting Services

### **EVALUATOR'S NAME:**

# **EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS**

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Independently evaluate each of the respondents against the evaluation criteria established in RSQ in the matrix below, and indicate whether or not they are highly qualified to perform these services.

Members may use any of the following comments in their evaluation or add others as appropriate to each of the criterion below:

- Outstanding, out-of-the-box, innovative, cost/time savings
- Excellent, very good, solid in all respects.
- Good, no major weaknesses, fully acceptable as is
- Marginal, weak, workable but needs clarifications
- Unacceptable, needs major help to be acceptable

Note: The complete evaluation criteria is located in section 3.26

**Members shall include detailed comments in their evaluation**. Describe strengths, weaknesses, risks of each proposal and deficiencies to support your assessment. State the areas the firm needs to improve or the reason for not rating any firm as highly qualified.

Please complete this form and bring it with you to the evaluation committee meeting. It will be collected by the Purchasing and Contracts staff at the end of the meeting.

The Committee will meet for a thorough discussion, and review of the proposals. Once all discussions are completed, the committee will be given a ballot to rank the firms based on their independent evaluation, and the discussion of the committee. Purchasing and Contracts staff will tabulate the ballots and announce the results. Based on the results the committee will make a recommendation for award.

# **EVALUATION CRITERIA MATRIX**

Evaluation Criteria	Tab (s)	Public Consulting Group LLC	COMMENTS
Proper Submittal of all documentation as required as required		Excellent	Completed Packet of delailed informations.
Ability of the Consultant to meet the qualifications detailed in Section 2.1 and the qualifications of the employees assigned to the County	1	Excellent	Medsor exceeds minimum requirements.
Project Methodology and Approach	2	Excellent	fletfee plus costs associated by harflered of assistance
Cost	3	Good	Review of Miani-isode  Shows & 1991 annual cost (darble of this proposed)  but represents anothlorgen country.
Agreement to execute the County's Business Associate Agreement, Exhibit D, as written	3	Good	Meets expectations
Experience / references, including timeliness of performance	4	Excellent	Mani-Dade Orange Courty in Florida.
Financial stability	5	Excellent	NA

Evaluator's Name: Printe	ed	Mi	chael	Vincent	
Evaluator's Signature	$\bigvee$				

### **Additional Comments or Notes**

Evaluator's Name: Printed Michael Vincent

Evaluator's Signature

### **EVALUATION CRITERIA MATRIX**

Evaluation Criteria	Tab (s)	Public Consulting Group LLC	COMMENTS
Proper Submittal of all documentation as required as required		acceptable	
Ability of the Consultant to meet the qualifications detailed in Section 2.1 and the qualifications of the employees assigned to the County	1	Holl some from and experiences in the industry. Amount of gradification (necessary pravides were injurisming from the sound of the board cost running took which is good. There began land to the they can gloppen. Appreciate attention to gradiff arrivance and	Fin can clearly meet our meeds and is goodfied no concerns, except the price is well over the sun-published Judet.
Project Methodology and Approach	2	dynerial attention to gratify assistance and communication. How model we us name 2017, or some understanding of our leuriness. Good one of feelbut and reportly / follow say	
Cost	3	One time medical cost reporting. thes, our amount for medical	
Agreement to execute the County's Business Associate Agreement, Exhibit D, as written	3	Yes, 1/5 7-8.	
Experience / references, including timeliness of performance	4	Great references, good neuric provide to County. Nationwide experience, exp. in relevant fields, leader in hurtry. 60+ agencies no PEMT	
Financial stability	5	Financial position is acceptable.	

Evaluator's Name: Printed	Crais	Boungardner	
Evaluator's Signature	face	e e	

# **EVALUATION CRITERIA MATRIX**

Evaluation Criteria	Tab (s)	Public Consulting Group LLC	COMMENTS	
Proper Submittal of all documentation as required as required		Respondent provided all necessary information to evaluate	Excellent	
Ability of the Consultant to meet the qualifications detailed in Section 2.1 and the qualifications of the employees assigned to the County	1	Excellent		
Project Methodology and Approach	2	Excellent		
Cost	3	Bood	Higher than expected but 1st time service for county	
Agreement to execute the County's Business Associate Agreement, Exhibit D, as written	3	Good		
Experience / references, including timeliness of performance	4	Excellent		
Financial stability	5	Good		

Evaluator's Name: Printed	Lynne Urice
Evaluator's Signature	Lyne uni