

**From:** [Planning Resource Object](#)  
**To:** [Michelle Miller](#); [Victoria Via](#)  
**Subject:** FW: APC communication tower  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 20, 2026 9:39:35 AM

---

**From:** dmgw125@epix.net <dmgw125@epix.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 19, 2026 9:48 AM  
**To:** vclark@thelawmpowered.com  
**Cc:** Planning Resource Object <Planning@co.hernando.fl.us>; Zoning Department <zoningdepartment@hernandocounty.us>; Michael Bruno <brunom5o0408@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** APC communication tower

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern

I REJECT the proposed APC communication tower that may/will be located at 6259 Farber Dr, Brooksville.

Regards

Diane Watson  
Croft Lane, Brooksville

## **FACTS ABOUT LIVING WITHIN 300 FEET OF A COMMUNICATION TOWER**

1. Effects from RF documented in scientific research include increased cancer risk, cellular stress, headaches, sleep issues, genetic damage, changes to the reproductive system, memory deficits, and impacts to the nervous system. (<https://ehtrust.org/cell-towers-and-cell-antennae/compilation-of-research-studies-on-cell-tower-radiation-and-health/>).
2. Research has also found that the cumulative dose from cell tower RF can result in significant exposure over time. Young children do not use cell phones, yet they are involuntarily exposed (There is a very young child within 100 yards of this proposed site, how is this tower going to affect this child?). Cell tower radiation exposures are nonstop day and night. We can turn our cell phones off, but we cannot turn the cell tower off.
1. Studies indicate that homes within close proximity to towers may take longer to sell and experience a decrease in value, with some estimates suggesting a reduction of up to 20%.
2. Research has also shown that there are reports of high cancer rates, cognitive issues, and fatigue in residents living near cell towers.
3. **RF waves from towers are non-ionizing and cannot directly damage DNA like X-rays, they can cause heating of body tissue at very high levels. The highest radiation intensity often occurs in the main beam, which is generally 50 to 300 meters from the base station.**

---

Does living near a cell tower cause health problems?



A 2022 published review found over 73% of studies on people living near cell towers reported adverse effects such as higher cancer rates, biochemical changes, and radiofrequency sickness symptoms including headaches, sleep issues and memory issues.

Hernando Board of County Commissioners,

I'm opposed to the proposed 199-foot cell tower on the Grace Brethren Church property because it doesn't fit with our residential neighborhood and would negatively affect the Rolling Acres community.

At nearly 200 feet tall—about the height of a 15–20 story building—this tower would be placed on a small 3.73-acre lot right in front of a neighborhood of homes. It's essentially industrial equipment, and it doesn't match the character of the area.

The tower would be very visible from nearby houses, including mine, which is only about 275 feet away. Structures like this can also affect property values, since many buyers don't want to live near large towers due to visual concerns and perceived health risks.

There are also issues with lighting and noise. Towers this tall usually have flashing red lights at night, which would be visible throughout the neighborhood. The ground equipment and backup generators can also create ongoing noise, especially during outages or maintenance.

Traffic is another concern. Faber Drive is a narrow, curvy residential road that isn't built for the extra traffic from construction and maintenance vehicles. There is also increasing development; on December 17, 2024, the Board approved, by a 4-1 vote, the use of Faber Drive as a secondary access point for a 125-home development (H2448) directly across the street.

Safety matters too. With hurricanes and storms in Florida, a structure this tall could pose risks if something goes wrong.

A better location for this tower has already been identified north of Downtown Brooksville, where the elevation is higher and the area is more rural, which would have far less impact on residents (Site 2).

At the very least, projects like this should be on larger parcels—around 10 acres—to provide proper buffering. This 3.73-acre site is just too small.

For all these reasons, I respectfully ask that you deny approval of this tower at this location.

Thank you,

Colleen Conko  
6219 Faber Drive, Brooksville