Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method Summary

Site/Project Name:	Application I	Number:		Date: July 28, 2025					
US 19 & Spring H									
Impact Summary									
	Impact Type	Location and Landscape Support		Water Environment		Community Structure		Acres	Functional Loss
Assessment Area		Current	w/Impact	Current	w/Impact	Current	w/Impact		
Wetlands 1,2,3,4	Direct Impact	5	0	4	0	5	0	1.68	0.784
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
=	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
=	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
							TOTAL	1.68	0.784

			d Landscape port	Water En	vironment	Communit	y Structure	Time Lag	Risk	PAF	RFG	Acres	Functional Gain
Assessment Area	Mitigation Type	w/o Mit	w/Mit	w/o Mit	w/Mit	w/o Mit	w/Mit						
=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
											TOTAL	0.00	0.000

	Acres
Total Impact	1.68
Mitigation	
Creation	0.00
Restoration	0.00
Enhancement	0.00
Preservation	0.00
Uplands	0.00
Total Mitigation	0.00

Total Functional Loss	0.784
Total Functional Gain	0.000
Mitigation Deficit	-0.784

UNIFORM WETLAND MITIGATION ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET - PART I - IMPACT Form 62-345.900(2), F.A.C. (See Sections 62-345.400 F.A.C.)

Site/Project Name	Application Number	er		Assessment Area Name or Number							
US 19 & Spring Hi	II Dri	ve				Wetland	ls 1,2,3,4				
FLUCCs code F		Further classification (optional)			Impac	t Type	Assessment Area Size				
617	617					Direct Impact	1.680 Acres				
Basin/Watershed Name/Number	Affect	ed Waterbody (Clas	ss)	Special Classification (i.e.OFW, AP, other local/state/federal designation of importance)							
Upper Coastal		III		NONE							
Geographic relationship to and hyd	golork	jic connection with	n wetlands, other	surface water, upl	ands						
The wetland onsite are artifically connection to roadside and upla					sever	al decades. There is s	some hydrologic				
Assessment area description											
The assessment area is a forest (Sabal palmetto), laurel oak (Quunderstory consisted predomina (Thylepteris spp.). High density wetlands.	ercus antly	laurifolia), swee of royal fern (Os	et bay (Persea pa munda regalis),	alustris), sweetba maidencane (Pa is) and (Smilax c	ay ma nicun arolin	ngnolia (Magnolia virg n hemitomon), and lin nana) were present th	iniana). The nited maiden fern roughout the				
Significant Nearby Features		Uniqueness (considering the relative rarity in relation to the regional landscape.)									
Surrounded by heavily trafficked roadways and dense commercial and residential development				Common in the regional landscape							
Functions				Mitigation for pre	vious	permit/other historic us	se				
flood retention, wildlife habitat				N/A							
Anticipated Wildlife Utilization Bas that are representative of the asse to be found)					T, SS	by Listed Species (List C), type of use, and int					
Due to the location of the wetlar and a large homeless presence,				use by listed sp	ecies	od wading bird foragi is limited. No protect ng the field visits.	•				
Observed Evidence of Wildlife Util	izatio	n (List species dire	ectly observed, o	r other signs such	as tra	acks, droppings, casing	ıs, nests, etc.):				
squirrel, black racer, songbirds											
Additional relevant factors:											
n/a											
Assessment conducted by:				Assessment date	(s):						
Navlor Environmental Solu	tions	Naylor Environmental Solutions				05/08/25					

				IGATION ASSESSMENT WORK), F.A.C. (See Sections 62-345.			CT			
Site/Project Na		9 & Spring Hil	I Drive	Application Number:		Asse		a Name or Number: Wetlands 1,2,3,4		
Impact or Mitig	ation:	Impact		Assessment Conducted by: Naylor Environmental	l Solutio		Assessment Date: 05/08/25			
	Scoring Guidar	nce	Optimal (10)	Moderate(7)		Minimal	(4)	Not Present (0)		
The scoring of each indicator is based on what Cond			Condition is optimal and fully supports wetland/surface water functions	Condition is less than optimal, but sufficient to maintain most wetland/surface waterfunctions wetland/			evel of support of d/surface water functions Condition is insufficient to prowe wetland/surface water functions			
						Enter Notes below	(do NOT sco	ore each subcategory individually)		
			a. Quality and quantity of habit a	at support outside of AA.			<u> </u>	0 , ,,		
			b. Invasive plant species.							
500(6)(a) Lo	ocation and Lan	dscape Support	c. Wildlife access to and from A	AA (proximity and barriers).						
.500(0)(a) L0	cation and Lan	азсаре опрроп	d. Downstream benefits provid	ded to fish and wildlife.						
				AA from land uses outside of AA.						
	ľ		 f. Hydrologic connectivity (im g. Dependency of downstream 	pediments and flow restrictions). habitats on quantity or quality of						
			discharges.							
Current		With Impact		is provided by uplands (upland AAs on located adjacent to US 19 and surrour		or roadways and a	mmoroial or	ad residental development		
			Notes:	located adjacent to US 19 and surrour	idea by our	er roadways and c	ommerciai ar	nd residental development.		
5		0								
			a. Appropriateness of water lev	els and flows.						
			b. Reliability of water level indi							
			 c. Appropriateness of soil mois d. Flow rates/points of discharge 							
.500(6	6)(b) Water Env n/a for upland)		e. Fire frequency/severity.	go.						
	(II/a IOI upiano	15)	f. Type of vegetation.							
			g. Hydrologic stress on vegetation. h. Use by animals with hydrologic requirements.							
				ogic requirements. on associated with water quality (i.e., pl	ants tolera	nt of poor WO)				
				ater by observation (I.e., discoloration		it of poor vv Q).				
			k. Water quality data for the typ	pe of community.						
Current		With Impact	I. Water depth, wave energy, a Additional Water quality is low Notes:	and currents. v in this wetland given the hydrology is s	sources froi	m offsite drainage f	rom roadway	and neighborhood drainage systems		
4		0								
.500(6	(c) Community	Structure								
	Veç	getation								
	Ber	nthic	 							
•										
	Bot	h				-				
	ľ		 							
Current		With Impact	Additional			1				
5		0		em, however the age and size distribution of the wetland areas, which affects the			There is sign	ificant use of the site by homeless,		
		<u> </u>				Additional	Notes:			
	e = Sum of abouplands, divide			Impact Acres =	1.68					
	ŗ i									
Current		With Impact		Functional Loss (FL)						
0.4666667		0		For Impact Assessment Areas]: = ID x Impact Acres =	0.784					
			<u> </u>							
	Impact Delta (ID)	was assessed usir is equal to Function	proposed to be mitigated at a mitigation ng UMAM, then the credits required for nal Loss (FL). If impact mitigation is pro	mitigation posed at a					
Current -	Current - w/Impact 0.466666667		mitigation bank the cannot be used to	mitigation bank that was not assessed using UMAM, then UMAM cannot be used to assess impacts; use the assessment method of the mitigaiton bank.						