### HERNANDO COUNTY ZONING AMENDMENT PETITION



Application to Change a Zoning Classification

Application request (check one):
Rezoning □ Standard ঐ PDP
Master Plan □ New □ Revised
PSFOD □ Communication Tower □ Other
PRINT OR TYPE ALL INFORMATION

File No. 47276 Official Date St	tamp
---------------------------------	------

#### Received

OCT 0 6 2022

Planning Department Hermando County, Florida

Date: 09/30/2022		THE INGINE	to Country, Filterida
APPLICANT NAME: Oak Development Group LLC			
Address: 1025 58th Street North			
City: St. Petersburg	State:	FL	Zip: 33703
Phone: <u>(727) 560-7827</u> Email: <u>CASEY@OAKDG.COM</u>			
Property owner's name: (if not the applicant) Lesa Bruger, Trustee			
REPRESENTATIVE/CONTACT NAME: Jacob T. Cremer & Cynthia D. Spidell	l		
Company Name: Stearns Weaver Miller			
Address: PO Box 3299			
City: Tampa	State:		Zip:
Phone: (813)223-4800 Email:jcremer@stearnsweaver.com, cspidelle	@stearnsw	eaver.com &	
HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION: Yes No (if applicable provide name)			
Contact Name:			
Address: City:		State:	Zip:
PROPERTY INFORMATION:			
1. PARCEL(S) <u>KEY</u> NUMBER(S): 00344657			
2. SECTION 20 , TOWNSHIP 22 South	D	ANCE 18	Fact
3. Current zoning classification: AG	, N	ANGE	Lust
4. Desired zoning classification: PDP (SF) and PDP (SU)			
5. Size of area covered by application: 40.4 Acres			
6. Highway and street boundaries: Southwest corner of Seely Land and Quivi	ira Road		
7. Has a public hearing been held on this property within the past twelve months?		No	
8 Will expert witness(es) be utilized during the public hearings?			
9. Will additional time be required during the public hearing(s) and how much?			ntify on an attached list.)
	M Yes □	No (Time need	ded: <u>TBD</u> )
PROPERTY OWNER AFFIDIVAT			
I, Lesa Bruger, Trustee have the	- 11		
I, Lesa Bruger, Trustee, have the application and state and affirm that all information submitted within this petition are to the control of the cont	oroughly ex	amined the inst	ructions for filing this
belief and are a matter of public record, and that (check one):	true and co	rrect to the best	of my knowledge and
☐ I am the owner of the property and am making this application <b>OR</b>			
_			
I am the owner of the property and am authorizing (applicant): Oak Developmen			
and (representative, if applicable): Jacob T. Cremer and Cynthia D. Spidell - Stearns	Weaver M	iller	
to submit an application for the described property.			
And A	7	_	. /
() War ()	mgi	- Tru	ITEE
STATE OF FLORIDA LESA BRUGER, Tru	gnature of Proj Istee	perty Owner	
COUNTY OF HERNANDO	m /	I	
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this day of	8 ctol	201	2022 by
who is personally known to me	or produce	d NL	as identification.
	1		
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	JEAN L		
[[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	otary Public. St Commission#		
Signature of Notary Public	y comm. expires	200	
	The state of the state of the state of		

Notary Seal/Stamp

Effective Date: 11/8/16 Last Revision: 11/8/16

## REZONING APPLICATION NARRATIVE

\*\*\*\*

# BRUGER PROPERTY OAK DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC

#### **Submitted by:**

Jacob T. Cremer, Esquire Cynthia D. Spidell, AICP Stearns Weaver Miller 401 East Jackson Street, Suite 2100 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 222-5051


Original Submittal: October 5, 2022 REVISED: December 14, 2022

## JUSTIFICATION REPORT PINE CONE REZONING FROM AG to PDP-SF & PDP-SU

#### I. Proposal

#### a. Statement of Proposed Land Use & Acreage.

This rezoning application proposes to change the zoning from the Agricultural District (AG) to Planned Development - Single Family (PDP-SF) on approximately 40.4 acres on parcel key no. 00344657 (the "Property") (Exhibit A – Location Map). This rezoning is in conjunction with a corresponding small scale comprehensive plan application for the Property. It should be noted that the area that is allocated to the existing cell tower as depicted on the Master Plan and attached boundary survey shall remain but is being included with this rezoning in order to maintain the option to remove the tower and develop as single family residential in accordance with the applicable conditions and site development standards shown on the Master Plan. The area subject to this rezoning is located on the south side of Seely Lane as set forth below:



#### b. Statement of Density Level of Residential Uses.

This rezoning proposes a maximum of 162 single family residential units on 40.4 acres for a gross density of 4.00 dwelling unit/gross acre. It should be noted that this gross density also includes the area that is currently shown as a communication tower. This rezoning also proposes a neighborhood park of 2.19 acres which is just above the 2.12 required per the County's land development regulations (LDR's) pursuant to Code of Ordinance Section 26-75. Pursuant to such LDR's, the amount of acreage required is one (1) acre for the first fifty (50) units plus 1/100<sup>th</sup> of an acre for each dwelling unit over fifty (50) up to 250 dwelling units for a maximum of 3 acres. As this rezoning seeks 162 dwelling units, the calculation is as follows:

<b>Dwelling Units</b>	Acreage
First 50/162	1 acre
Next 112/162	1.12 acre
Minimum Park	2.12 acres
Acreage:	

The neighborhood park has been creatively located in the area between the residences and the communication tower to maximize its use as a buffer.

## c. <u>Statement of Proposed Square Footage of Development and Building Heights of Commercial Uses</u>

No commercial uses are proposed. The single family residential lot layout and dimensional standards are located on the Master Plan (**Exhibit B**). It should be noted that there are only two minimum lot sizes proposed which are:

- 50' width x 110' length (50x110=5,500 total lot size)
- 70' width x 110' length (70x110=7,700 total lot size)

#### d. Statement of Proposed Deviations from Code.

The proposed rezoning is to PDP-SF with specific standards unique to this development. The proposed standards deviation from the Euclidian standards for the R1-A zoning district in the following ways:

LDC Section	Description	LDC Requirement	Proposed Amount	Requested Deviation
LDC App. A, Art. IV, Sec. 2, C: R-1A Residential District	Minimum Lot Area	6,000 square feet	5,500* square feet	(500) square feet
LDC App. A, Art. IV, Sec. 2, C: R-1A Residential District	Minimum Lot Width	60 feet	50* feet	(10) feet

LDC App. A, Art. IV, Sec. 2, C: R-1A Residential District	Minimum Front Yard	25 feet	20 feet	(5) feet
LDC App. A, Art. IV, Sec. 2, C: R-1A Residential District	Minimum Side Yard	10 feet	5 feet	(5) feet
LDC App. A, Art II, Sec. 3, General regulations for lots and yards	Double frontage and corner lots shall meet front yard regulations on all adjacent streets	2 Fronts	Request to have only one front: 20 feet on primary front yard and 10 feet on secondary front yard.	(15) feet for secondary front yard

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Represents minimum requested for 50-foot lots which are restricted as to location per the Master Plan. Perimeter lots shall be a minimum of 70 feet wide with the exception of one small area along the eastern boundary south of the neighborhood park.

The R1-A Euclidean zoning district is designed primarily to permit the continued development of established residential areas which include a mixture of conventional single family dwellings and mobile homes and is therefore, not intended to be utilized extensively for future development.. *See* App. A, Article IV, Sec. 1(3). The proposed density is 4 du/ga (162 units/40.4 acres). Clustering density to provide perimeter buffers, wider entrances at the access points to accommodate treed boulevards, and neighborhood parks, all require smaller lots and reduced setbacks. However, the proposed PDP(SF) is appropriately buffered and screened to ensure compatibility with the surrounding neighborhoods.

As described in section III.B below, a 20' landscape buffer has been shown on the Master Plan along the perimeter of the residential portion of the project. Additionally, the 70' lots are shown along the perimeter with the exception of one small area.

This development is located in the adjusted urbanized area and will allow for an efficient use of public facilities and services.

#### **II.** Site Characteristics

- a. <u>Site Size</u>: The site subject to this rezoning per the attached Sketch & Description is 40.4) (Exhibit C)
- b. Existing Land uses and their specific acreage: N/A. the lot is currently vacant:



c. <u>Known activities or uses on-site:</u> There are no known activities or uses currently on the site.

#### III. Environmental Considerations.

Environmental considerations such as flood zone, drainage features, and water features have been noted on the Master Plan as applicable. An environmental assessment was conducted for the site (Exhibit D). The applicant will follow the recommendations outlined in the report and will comply with all applicable environmental permitting regulations.

#### **Site Plan Discussion.**

#### a. Description of the concept of the development plan.

As can be seen on the attached Master Plan, the County's latest development guidelines have been incorporated into the design. Larger lots have been strategically placed along the perimeter and the 50' lots are interior.

#### b. Proposed buffer sizes and separation widths between proposed land uses.

A 20' landscape perimeter buffer has been shown on the Master Plan along the residential portion of the project. Additionally, as depicted on the Master Plan, the applicant/developer is committed to placing larger lots (minimum 70' wide) along the perimeter with the exception of a few lots just south of the neighborhood park. It should be noted that the stormwater pond locations and neighborhood park are proposed to be strategically located around the outer portions of the project to maximize perimeter buffering opportunities.

#### c. Proposed setbacks and minimum sizes for individual lots.

The master plan contains the various lot sizes proposed for the project and includes all applicable setbacks on the lot layout.

#### d. Proposed uses within pods.

This rezoning proposes single family residential and a potential recreational amenity center within the neighborhood park.

#### IV. Public Facilities Impact Analysis.

a. Water & Wastewater Analysis. The following charts outline the potential impacts on water and wastewater:

Potable Water Impacts					
Zoning District	Proposed Density EDU				
Planned Development - Single Family*	167				
Average Daily Demand	65,130 gallons per day				
Maximum Daily Demand	97,695 gallons per day				
	(67.8 gallons per minute)				
Required Fire Flow	1000 gallons per minute				
Required Fire Flow + Maximum Daily Demand	1067.8 gallons per minute				
*Analysis based on PDP (Single Family) Zoning District of 167 equivalent dwelling units as the Zoning Application to be considered concurrently with the CPAS application. (167 EDU = 162 single family homes + Amenity Center assumed @ 5 homes)					
Sanitary Sewer Impacts					
Average Daily Flow	33,400 gallons per day				
Peak Hourly Flow	69.58 gallons per minute				

- **b.** Schools. School concurrency applies to residential development and thus shall apply to this project. The applicant will work with the school board to complete a school capacity application and shall comply with applicable school concurrency requirements in conjunction with development of the property.
- **c.** <u>Parks.</u> In accordance with Strategy 7.01B(4) of the Hernando County Comprehensive Plan, a project in excess of 1000 new residential units will require an analysis of the proximity and accessibility of a district or community park by the proposed development to determine whether additional facilities are needed. As this project is a maximum of 162 dwelling units, an additional park analysis is not required.

#### V. Water and Sewer Services.

It is understood that the county subdivision regulations require the dedication of sewer and water systems to the County. It is also understood that such regulations provide

for obtaining water and sewer service from the county, payment of connection fees, and commitments for service.

As part of the zoning and permitting process, the applicant will work with the County and enter into applicable water and sewer agreements. It is also acknowledged that the developer must request water and sewer service from the County.

#### VI. Senior, Age-Restricted or Affordable Housing.

N/A. None of these items are proposed.

#### **Exhibit List**

Listed here but attached to the initial narrative submittal

Exhibit A – Location Map

Exhibit B – Master Plan

Exhibit C – Boundary Survey

Exhibit D – Environmental Assessment